

College of Humanities and Social Sciences
Department of Psychology

George Mason University

Applied Developmental Masters and Doctoral Program

Student/Faculty Handbook

2007-2008 Edition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. WHO'S WHO IN THE DEPARTMENT.....	5
II. COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES GRADUATE POLICIES...	7
GRADUATE ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND GRADES	7
ACADEMIC WARNING	7
ACADEMIC TERMINATION.....	7
ACADEMIC DISMISSAL	8
FULL-TIME CLASSIFICATION OF GRADUATE STUDENTS	8
PERMISSION TO RE-ENROLL IN GRADUATE STUDY	8
III. MASTERS PROGRAM IN APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY	10
TIME LIMIT	10
DEGREE REQUIREMENTS:.....	10
THESIS OPTION	11
<i>Writing the Thesis</i>	12
<i>Thesis Advisor and Committee</i>	12
<i>Thesis Proposal</i>	13
<i>Thesis Oral Examination</i>	13
<i>University Dissertation and Thesis Services</i>	14
<i>Thesis Submission</i>	14
<i>Guidelines for Dissertation, Thesis, and Travel Support Application</i>	14
APPLYING FOR GRADUATION	15
IV. DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY	16
PROGRAM GOALS	16
TRACK STRUCTURE.....	16
TIME LIMIT	16
PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR CONCENTRATION IN APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY	17
<i>Second Year Research Project</i>	17
<i>Electives</i>	17
PROGRAM OF STUDY (POS)	18
<i>Program of Study</i>	19
<i>Non-Psychology Electives</i>	22
V. ADP COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION.....	23
GOAL	23
ELIGIBILITY AND REGISTRATION	23
PROCEDURE	23
<i>Take-Home Comprehensive Exam</i>	24
EVALUATION OF TAKE-HOME ANSWERS	25
<i>Comprehensive Exam Timeline</i>	27
<i>Applied Developmental Program</i>	28
<i>Application for Approval to Take Comprehensive Exams</i>	28
<i>Approval of Ph.D. Comprehensive Exam Committee</i>	29
<i>Faculty Approval of Specialized Reading List for Comprehensive Exams</i>	30

<i>ADP Comprehensive Examination Question Grading Form.....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Grading Sheet for ADP Comprehensive Examination Answers.....</i>	<i>32</i>
VI. ADP PH.D. POLICY ON SATISFACTORY PROGRESS AND EXCEPTIONS	33
<i>ADP Doctoral Student Evaluation Form.....</i>	<i>34</i>
VII. POLICIES ON COURSE EXEMPTION	36
CREDIT FROM OTHER INSTITUTIONS AFTER ADMISSION	37
VIII. RESEARCH/PRACTICUM HOURS	38
PURPOSE	38
FULFILLING ADP PRACTICUM REQUIREMENTS	38
THE CRITERIA FOR PRACTICUM	38
<i>When to Enroll in a Practicum</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Examples of On-site Practica</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Courses Appropriate for Practicum Placements</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Procedures for Enrolling in Practicum</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Grades.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Intent to Registrar for Practicum.....</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Practicum Application Form</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Practicum Certification Form.....</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>Practicum Summary Form.....</i>	<i>46</i>
IX. THE DISSERTATION PROPOSAL.....	47
ADVANCEMENT TO CANDIDACY.....	47
DISSERTATION PROPOSAL APPROVAL PROCESS.....	48
X. THE DISSERTATION.....	53
ORAL DEFENSE OF THE DISSERTATION	54
FORMAT REVIEW	56
DISSERTATION SUBMISSION AND FEE	56
XI. FACULTY RESEARCH INTERESTS	58
APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL	58
BIOPSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM	59
CLINICAL.....	59
HUMAN FACTORS/APPLIED COGNITION.....	61
INDUSTRIAL/ORGANIZATIONAL.....	62
APPENDICES	64
GRADUATE STUDENT RESOURCES	65
COURSE DESCRIPTIONS.....	65
<i>Guidelines for Graduate Student Grievances Against Faculty.....</i>	<i>66</i>
TEACHING OPPORTUNITIES	69
<i>Teaching Assistantship Descriptions and Qualifications</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Teaching Undergraduate Courses.....</i>	<i>71</i>
DISSERTATION, THESIS AND TRAVEL SUPPORT.....	72

<i>Dissertation and Thesis Support</i>	72
<i>Travel Support</i>	72
<i>The Graduate Student Travel Fund</i>	74
<i>Department Wide</i>	76
<i>Outside Dissertation Source Support</i>	77

I. WHO'S WHO IN THE DEPARTMENT

Department Chair:

Dr. Deborah Boehm-Davis 993-1398 / DK 2003 dbdavis@gmu.edu

Associate Chair for Graduate Studies:

Dr. Jose Cortina 993-1374 / DK 3074 jcortina@gmu.edu

Associate Chair for Undergraduate Studies:

Dr. James Sanford 993-1351 / DK 2046 jsanford@gmu.edu

Office Manager:

Ms. Susan Ridley 993-1398 / DK 2003 sridley@gmu.edu

Graduate Programs Coordinator:

Ms. Darby Wiggins 993-1548 / DK 2014 dwiggin3@gmu.edu

Undergraduate Program Coordinator:

Ms. Sara Montiel 993-1759 / DK 2086 smontiel@gmu.edu

Grants and Budget Administrator:

Ms. Kristin Fairchild 993-1495 / DK 2003 kfairch1@gmu.edu

Fiscal Services Assistant:

Ms. Frah Abdi 993-3235 / DK 2003 fabdi@gmu.edu

Administrative Support Specialist:

Ms. Stacey Sexton 993-1384 / DK 2001 ssexton@gmu.edu

Director of Undergraduate Advising/Teaching Assistant Coordinator:

Dr. Michael Hurley 993-1384 / DK 2086 mhurley2@gmu.edu

Laboratory Manager:

Mr. Dave Cerri 993-1353 / DK 2024 dcerri@gmu.edu

Director, Applied Developmental Program:

Dr. Susanne Denham 993-1378 / DK 1024A sdenham@gmu.edu

Director, Biopsychology Program:

Dr. Robert Smith 993-4339 / DK 2044 bsmith@gmu.edu

Director of Clinical Training:

Dr. Jerome Short 993-1368 / DK 2057 jshort@gmu.edu

Director of the Psychological Clinic:

Dr. Lisa Meier 993-1371 / Clinic 202 lmeier@gmu.edu

Director, Human Factor/Applied Cognition Program:

Dr. Raja Parasuraman 993-1357 / DK 2055 rparasur@gmu.edu

Coordinator, Human Factor/Applied Cognition M.A. Program:

Dr. Chris Monk 993-3408 / DK 2059 cmonk@gmu.edu

Director, Industrial/Organizational Program:

Dr. Lois Tetrick 993-1372 / DK 3066A ltetrick@gmu.edu

Coordinator, Industrial/Organizational M.A. Program:

Dr. Louis Buffardi 993-1363 / DK 3072 buffardi@gmu.edu

Director, School Psychology/CAGS Program:

Dr. Grover Foehlinger 993-5127 / DK 2063 gfoehlin@gmu.edu

II. COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES GRADUATE POLICIES

These policies apply to all graduate students within the College of Humanities and Social Sciences (CHSS). For more specific information on your individual program, please read further on in this handbook.

Graduate Academic Standards and Grades

University course work is measured in terms of quantity and quality. A credit normally represents one hour per week of lecture or recitation or not fewer than two hours per week of laboratory work throughout a semester. The number of credits is a measure of quantity. The grade is a measure of quality. The University-wide system for grading graduate courses is as follows:

Grade Quality Points Graduate Courses

A+ 4.00 Satisfactory / Passing

A 4.00 Satisfactory / Passing

A- 3.67 Satisfactory / Passing

B+ 3.33 Satisfactory / Passing

B 3.00 Satisfactory / Passing

B- 2.67 Satisfactory* / Passing

C 2.00 Unsatisfactory/Passing

F 0.00 Unsatisfactory / Failing

* Note: Students are advised that, although a B- is a satisfactory grade for a course, they must maintain a 3.0 average in their degree program and present a 3.0 GPA on the courses listed on the graduation application.

Academic Warning

A notation of academic warning is entered on the transcript of a graduate student who receives a grade of C or F in a graduate course, or while a grade of IN is in effect.

Academic Termination

Graduate students who are admitted provisionally may be terminated from their academic programs if they fail to meet conditions of their admission in the time limits set at admission. Students admitted provisionally may be terminated upon accumulating either grades of F in two courses or nine credits of unsatisfactory grades in graduate courses. Provisionally admitted students who accumulate 12 credits of unsatisfactory grades in undergraduate courses will also be terminated. (For students admitted provisionally, graduate and undergraduate grades are not combined in the calculation of unsatisfactory credits leading toward termination.) Students may be terminated if they fail to achieve satisfactory progress toward their degree. A letter of

termination is sent by the dean or director of the school/college/institute, and notification of academic termination is affixed to the graduate student's official record. Students who are terminated are no longer eligible to take courses in the program, but may apply to another degree program or take courses (in other programs) through Extended Studies.

Academic Dismissal

A graduate student is dismissed upon accumulating either grades of F in two courses or nine credits of unsatisfactory grades in graduate courses. These are minimum standards of academic performance; some programs have higher standards. A student may also be dismissed for failure to meet other program requirements such as doctoral competence examinations. The notation of academic dismissal is affixed to the graduate student's official record. A student who is dismissed may not take additional course work at the university.

Full-time Classification of Graduate Students

Graduate students are considered full time if they are enrolled in at least nine credits per semester or if they hold a full time assistantship (20 hours a week) and are enrolled in at least six credits per semester. Graduate students who are enrolled in dissertation credits (either 998 or 999) are considered full time if they are enrolled in at least six credits per semester, regardless of whether they hold an assistantship or not. Graduate students who have completed the minimum number of credits required by their degree program including the minimum number of credits of 999 required by their degree program, if any, are considered full time if they are registered for at least one credit of 999 and their advisors and department chairs certify each semester that they are working full time on the dissertation. **Please note: Different criteria for full-time status may apply for tuition, verification, and financial aid purposes. Contact Student Accounts, the Registrar's Office and Student Financial Aid, respectively, for questions regarding student-specific status.**

Permission to Re-enroll in Graduate Study

Permission to re-enroll in a program must be obtained by all master's and doctoral students who have failed to enroll in at least one credit of course work for two or more consecutive semesters at George Mason University. A program may allow a student to petition to graduate under any catalog in effect while the student was enrolled. The final decision rests with the unit dean or director. Forms are available from the Office of the Registrar, 703-993-2441.

Applied Developmental Program Policies

Effective immediately, the following regulations will apply to all graduate students in ADP programs.

- 1.** All MA students must maintain continuous registration.
- 2.** Both Ph.D. and MA students must get approval from their advisor before registering for classes each semester. An e-mail to the advisor with a list of proposed courses for the semester is the most efficient way to request approval.
- 3.** Ph.D. students must inform their advisor of any employment outside GMU if they have GMU support of any kind.
- 4.** Although graduate students' performance evaluation from outside positions will not be solicited by the program; unsolicited reports of student performance from these settings may be considered in evaluation of the student by the program.

III. MASTERS PROGRAM IN APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

This specialization gives students the opportunity to focus on child development. It provides basic knowledge about normal development, skills for assessing developmental level and techniques for planning and evaluating programs that foster optimal development. The program prepares students for employment in settings such as government agencies dealing with educational and health programs for children and adolescents, infant and preschool stimulation programs, child care, and parent education programs. The program also is suitable for students who wish to prepare for doctoral work in developmental, clinical, or pediatric psychology. This program does not, however, provide training in clinical skills; laboratory courses in clinical assessment and therapy skills are not open to students in the Applied Developmental Concentration. In addition, information on the School Psychology and CAGS degree can be found in the School Psychology/CAGS handbook.

Time Limit

Master's degree students have six years from the time of first enrollment as a degree-seeking student to complete their degrees. Students who are given permission to re-enroll following an absence from the university may not count the six-year time limit as beginning on the date of re-enrollment. Students who will not meet published time limits due to circumstances beyond their control may petition their school, college, or institute for an extension. Failure to meet the time limits, or to secure approval of an extension request, may result in termination from the program.

Degree Requirements:

1. 32 hours of graduate credit
2. Core (6 hours): Choose one course from any two areas below.

Cognitive Core – PSYC 701, 766, or 768
Biological Core – PSYC 702, 558, or 559
Social Core – PSYC 703, 667, or 668 (Personality Theory)
3. Quantitative and Research Methods courses (8 hours)

PSYC 611 – Advanced Statistics Research Methods I
PSYC 612 – Advanced Statistics Research Methods II
4. Specialization courses (9 hours)

Three of: PSYC 592 – Special Topics with Developmental Content
PSYC 614 Psychology of Aging
PSYC 615 – Language Development

PSYC 617 – Child Psychopathology
 PSYC 630 – Developmental Disabilities
 PSYC 648 – Developmental Psychopathology
 PSYC 666 – Cognitive and Perceptual Development
 PSYC 669 – Social/Emotional Development
 PSYC 704 – Life-Span Development
 PSYC 780 – Applied Developmental Psychology

5. 4 hours of research/practicum experience:

Choose one

Thesis (4 hours of a combination of 798/799)

or

Practicum/Directed Reading & Research (3 hours of 792 & 1 hour of 597)

6. 2 hours of professional seminar (PSYC 591) in Fall and Spring semester of first year

7. Electives: 3 hours of electives (course content, practicum, or directed reading & research).

Electives may come from other departments. An approved list of electives from outside the department follows. Other possible electives can be discussed with your advisor and the Director. Practicum forms can be found in the appendix.

ANTH 750 Ethnographic Genres
 EDCD 601 Introduction to Research Counseling
 EDCD 602 Introduction to Counseling Theory and Practice
 EDSE 540 Characteristics of Students with Emotional Disturbance and Learning Disabilities
 EDSE 555 Language Development and Emerging Literacy
 EDSE 615 Early Intervention for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities
 EDSE 648 Introduction to Psycho-Educational Assessment
 EDRS 631 Program Evaluation
 EDUT 512 Assessment of Diverse Young Learners, Ages 3-5
 EDUT 612 Development and Assessment of Diverse Learners, K to 3
 EDCI 511 Developing Curriculum and Designing Instruction in Early Childhood Education
 PUAD 502 Administration in Public and Nonprofit Organizations
 PUAD 640 Public Policy Process
 PUAD 643 Public Policy Research
 PUBP 713 Policy and Program Evaluation
 SOCI 608 Juvenile Delinquency
 SOCI 632 Evaluation Research for Social Programs
 SOCI 640 Social Theory and Social Policy

Thesis Option

A thesis is not required for the MA degree; however, either a practicum or thesis is required for the Applied Developmental and the Biopsychology MA. Up to 6 hours of thesis

research (PSYC 798 and PSYC 799 combined) may be used as elective credits. The thesis should demonstrate the student's capacity to carry out independent research. The research project will be closely related to his/her general educational objectives and should provide the student with the opportunity to contribute to knowledge in psychology. The student should begin to think seriously about a thesis problem as soon as possible in his/her graduate career.

The following guidelines are to provide assistance in preparing for thesis deadlines. More specific guidelines may be found in the *Guide for Preparing Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Projects*. Copies may be obtained from the following link:
<http://www.gmu.edu/library/specialcollections/dtwebguide.htm>

Students working on a thesis proposal enroll in PSYC 798. Students who have an approved proposal and are conducting the research enroll in PSYC 799. Credits earned for Directed Readings will not be converted to thesis credits. Contact Darby Wiggins at dwiggin3@gmu.edu for enrollment procedures.

Writing the Thesis

The thesis is to be written to conform to the standards of the American Psychological Association as published in the third edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. Before a draft is submitted to the thesis committee, the student and his/her advisor should have perfected the paper as much as possible in terms of content, grammar, and format. The members of the Thesis Oral Examination Committee should receive a draft in sufficient time for them to read and critique the paper, and for the student to make any necessary revisions prior to the submission deadline. The final draft must be approved by the Thesis Oral Examination Committee, the Program Director, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies, with an original and one approved copy submitted to the Dean (via the Graduate Program Office) by the date announced on the CHSS website. Deadlines are strictly adhered to and students should be certain to visit the following CHSS site for deadline details.
http://chss.gmu.edu/grad/checklist_master07spring.php

Thesis Advisor and Committee

The thesis advisor works closely with the student in developing and focusing the research problem or question; writing the thesis proposal; collecting, analyzing, and interpreting the data; and writing the final version of the thesis. The thesis advisor will help to choose the members of the Thesis Committee. The list of faculty interests at the end of this handbook may be of assistance in identifying an advisor and/or thesis advisor.

The Thesis Committee consists of three persons, including the thesis advisor. The thesis advisor must be a full-time faculty member in the Department of Psychology. At least one of the other two members must also be full-time faculty in the Department of Psychology. The task of this group is to provide advice and consultation at all stages of the thesis, particularly in the development of the proposal. The point at which this committee is formed is left to the discretion of the thesis advisor.

An Approval to Pursue Master's Thesis Form is available here:
<http://mason.gmu.edu/~dwiggin3/ApprovaltoPursueMAThesis.pdf>

Thesis Proposal

The thesis proposal consists of the following:

1. Cover sheet—format is found at: <http://www.gmu.edu/library/specialcollections/dtforms.htm>
2. A statement of the major problem or research and supporting problems, not to exceed six double-spaced pages. The proposal should include a very brief review of the literature and the methods proposed to carry out the research.

The thesis proposal must be approved by the thesis committee, the Program Director, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. It must then be submitted to the Dean of the College Humanities and Social Sciences (CHSS) ***before*** registration for thesis credit (PSYC 799). Six copies of the typewritten thesis proposal should be submitted to the major thesis advisor. These will be forwarded to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies and the Dean via the Graduate Programs Office (2014F DKH).

Thesis Proposal Presentation

The thesis proposal must be presented to the thesis committee for approval. This presentation is open to anyone who wishes to attend and should therefore be announced to the department. The student should discuss the procedure for this presentation with his/her thesis advisor.

Thesis Oral Examination

An oral defense of the final thesis to the Thesis Committee is required. The defense is concerned with the problem, design, method, interpretation, and knowledge in the general area of the thesis. The defense is conducted by the thesis advisor. Successful completion of the defense is reflected by approval of the thesis committee. The defense may be attended by any interested persons; thus, the date should be provided to the Graduate Programs Assistant at least two weeks in advance.

The defense should be conducted no later than three weeks prior to the date specified in the calendar published in the University Catalog for receipt of the final thesis in CHSS Dean's office. Such scheduling will help assure adequate time for making revisions in the final draft. Scheduling of the date for the exam is the responsibility of both the student and thesis advisor.

University Dissertation and Thesis Services

University Dissertation and Thesis Services (UDTS) facilitates completion and submission of dissertations, theses, and graduate-level projects for students by helping students meet university requirements and deadlines for submission of their works. The program assists George Mason students in all stages of dissertation, thesis, or project production. [UDTS' web site](#) provides students with useful tools, such as George Mason's Dissertation, Thesis, or Project Guide, downloadable templates of necessary dissertation/thesis/project elements, forms required for the submission process, and links to related Web sites. UDTS is located in Fenwick Library, Wing 2C (Special Collections and Archives). For more information please contact the University Dissertation/ Thesis Coordinator, Sara Patton at 703-993-2222 or spatton@gmu.edu

Thesis Submission

The original and one copy of the master's thesis with two original signed cover sheets must be deposited with the college/school/institute dean or director for dean/director's signature prior to being transferred to the University Libraries. For degree conferral, two copies with cover sheets signed by committee and dean/director of college/school/institute must be submitted to the library by 5 p.m. the on last Friday of classes (select the timelines link at <http://registar.gmu.edu/gif/timeline.html> for specific deadline date). This is also the deadline for participation in the May commencement.

Guidelines for Dissertation, Thesis, and Travel Support Application

The department will provide up to \$200 to cover the cost of master's thesis research. Only MA students are eligible for master's thesis support. Doctoral students who elect to write a masters thesis are not eligible for this support. These funds are to be used to assist in the collection of data, including payment to participants if the research requires a population not readily available at the University. All equipment, books, software, tests, etc that are purchased with department funds becomes the property of the department. These funds are provided in the form of reimbursements for expenses, not cash grants or up-front money paid to vendors. Therefore, keep good records of your expenses, including all receipts. Only original receipts (not photocopies) will be accepted.

Requests should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. To apply, you must provide:

1. A copy of the signature sheet of your approved dissertation or thesis proposal.
2. A budget that specifies how you plan to spend the money.
 - The budget must be signed by your advisor.
 - If the budget includes copying, office supplies, postage, and other such items that can be purchased by or through the department, the budget must be reviewed and initialed by Susan Ridley prior to submission.

3. A statement from your advisor that he/she does not have funds to support this research (e.g., from a grant).

Applying for Graduation

The deadlines for submission of MA degree applications to Student Records typically (but not always): September 30 for January graduation; January 31 for May graduation; May 30 for August graduation. **These dates may change, so please consult the University Catalog.** Steps in completing a graduation application for the MA degree can be found at: http://chss.gmu.edu/grad/checklist_master07spring.php

IV. DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

Program Goals

The primary goal of the program is to train students to do research and teaching on basic processes of development (e.g., cognition, socialization) and on problems of development (e.g., attachment in infants born prematurely, information processing in learning disabled children). Students with this training are employable in university departments of psychology and human development and in teaching hospitals that conduct research on intervention strategies and long-term consequences of early insult.

A secondary goal of the program is to train some students to do work that requires licensure in Applied Psychology (e.g., developmental assessment, development and evaluation of primary prevention programs in schools and health care settings, consultation to developers of day care and products for specific age groups). This kind of training prepares students for employment in applied settings such as Developmental Follow-up Clinics and Parent/Infant Education Programs and allows those employed in university settings to act as consultants to medical practitioners, private industry, government programs, and the media.

Track Structure

All students receive a thorough grounding in developmental processes, research methodology, and a psychology core. The developmental perspective is emphasized throughout.

The basic program requires 72 hours of graduate course work. It is also possible for students in the ADP doctoral program to concurrently enroll in the Program in School Psychology/CAGS. A separate application for this secondary program is required. Only students accepted for this option will be able to take assessment courses and be eligible for certification as School Psychologists.

Time Limit

Doctoral students have six years from the time of first enrollment as a degree-seeking student to become advanced to candidacy. Students have five years from the time of advancement to candidacy to graduation. Individual doctoral programs may have stricter time limits, which are published in the catalog. Students who are given permission to re-enroll following an absence from the university may not count the time limits as beginning on the date of re-enrollment. Students who will not meet published time limits due to circumstances beyond their control, may petition their school, college, or institute for an extension. Failure to meet the time limits, or to secure approval of an extension request, may result in termination from the program.

Program Requirements for Concentration in Applied Developmental Psychology

Students must complete the following requirements:

- 3 credits of Developmental core (PSYC 704)
 - 6 credits of Cognitive, Biological, Social or History core (2 of PSYC 701, 766, or 768 for cognitive; 702, 558, or 559 for biological; 703, 667, or 668 for social; or 705)
 - 8 credits of Quantitative Methods: PSYC 611 and 612
 - 9 credits of Advanced Specialized Methods including the following:
 - *Quantitative Methods*: At least 3 credits from PSYC 652, 754, or 756 or 892 Meta-Analysis/Structural Equations Modeling
 - *Research Methods*: At least 3 credits from PSYC 646 or 654
 - *Specialized Methods*: PSYC 619, 673, 684, 709*, 710*, 722*, 786, PSYC 592 Developmental Assessment
 - 15 credits of Specialized Content:
 - *Choose* from PSYC 592 (Early Childhood Education, Childcare, and the Transition to School), 614, 615, 617, 630, 648, 666**, 669**, 780, 892 (Special topics with developmental content), or EDRS 631 (Program Evaluation)
 - 3 credits of Professional Seminar/Professional Ethics (1 hour taken during each semester of the first years and 1 spring semester in a subsequent year, preferably the 2nd)
 - 8 credits of Directed Readings and Research (897)/Practicum (792) (maximum 6 hours; forms can be found in the Appendix)
 - 12 credits of dissertation: PSYC 998 and 999 (at least 3 of 998 and at least 3 of 999. Minimum 12 combined)
- SubTotal = 64 credits**

Second Year Research Project

A second year research project is required as part of the student's program. It must be completed before the student can take comprehensive exams. The expectation is that the research will be submitted for presentation at a national conference or to an appropriate journal or publication.

Minimum Requirements:

- Presentation at a ADP/Department colloquium.
- Students entering the ADP doctoral program with an M.A but no M.A Thesis will be required to complete this requirement before taking comprehensive exams. No course credit will be given in this situation.

Electives

M.A Thesis Proposal and Thesis Credits cannot be used as elective credits in the Ph.D. Program; however, PSYC 897 credits over and above those used in the category above may be utilized here

0 to 8 credits of Electives

SubTotal = 8

TOTAL CREDITS: 72

* Can be taken only by students concurrently enrolled in the School Psychology M.A. Concentration

** Required Specialized Content requirements

Note. Students cannot use a single course to fulfill both the General Psychology core requirement and the Specialized Content requirement.

Applied Behavioral Analysis Courses

There are 5 courses in this sequence leading to a certificate. The first one, PSYC 619, may be used as 3 credits of Specialized Methods in the ADP doctoral program. Another 3 credit course in this series may be used as elective credit in the ADP doctoral program. If students wish to take more courses in this series, they must be taken in addition to the 72 hours required for the ADP doctoral program.

Program of Study (POS)

The POS should be developed during a student's first year in the program in consultation with the major advisor. The POS and a semester-by-semester listing of courses should be submitted to the Program Director for approval by the end of the student's second year (earlier submissions often result in many changes; submission of the final product at this time helps to assure less need to submit revisions for CHSS scrutiny). Subsequent changes to the POS are, however, possible, but must be approved by the ADP Faculty. You are strongly encouraged to save an electronic copy of this document for future updating. Additionally, prior to obtaining Faculty and Program Director signatures, students should email a copy of their POS to Darby Wiggins (dwiggin3@gmu.edu) for editing.

**The Doctoral of Philosophy in Applied Developmental Psychology
Program of Study**

(Last Update (November 10, 2009))

Name: _____ Phone: _____
Address: _____ G#: _____
Email: _____ Date Accepted into Program: _____

Proposed Date of Comprehensive Examination: _____

**PLEASE ADD A SEMESTER-BY-SEMESTER LISTING OF ALL COURSES IN THE
POS.**

**Core Content Courses
(9 Hours)**

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
PSYC 704			3
6-Credits from Cognitive (PSYC 701), Biological (PSYC 702), Developmental (PSYC 703) and History (PSYC 705) Core or approved alternative (see program requirements)			
Total Hours:			

**Core Quantitative & Methods Courses
(8 Hours)**

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
PSYC 611			4
PSYC 612			4
Total Hours:			

**Advanced Quantitative & Specialized Methods
(9 Hours)**

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
Quantitative Methods (at least 3 hours)			
Research Methods (at least 3 hours)			

Specialized Methods			
Total Hours:			

Specialized Content (Foundations and Applications)
(15 Hours)

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
PSYC 666			3
PSYC 669			3
Total Hours:			

Research/Practicum
(8 Hours)

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
Total Hours:			

Professional Seminar/Ethics (3 Hours)

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
Total Hours:			

Dissertation Proposal & Dissertation
(Min. of 3 hours each of 998/999 and a minimum 12 hours combined)

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
PSYC 998	Dissertation Proposal		
PSYC 999	Dissertation		
Total Hours:			

Electives (0 – 8 Hours)

Course #	Title as Shown on Your Transcript	Term/Year	Hours
Total Hours:			
Grand Total Hours:			

TOTAL HOURS

Applied to MA	Post MA	Dissertation (Limit 12)	GRAND TOAL

Note: It is expected that students will have an approved Program of Study by the end of the second year in the program. Students are also expected to be continuously engaged in research throughout the program.

Student

Date

Advisor

Date

Program Director

Date

Associate Chair for Graduate Studies

Date

Doctoral Program in Applied Developmental Psychology
Non-Psychology Electives

ANTH 750	Ethnographic Genres
EDCD 601	Introduction to Research in Counseling
EDCD 602	Counseling Theory and Practice
EDUT 512	Assessment of Diverse Young Learners, Ages 3-5
EDUT 612	Development and Assessment of Diverse Learners
EDCI 511	Developing Curriculum and Designing Instruction in Early Childhood Education
EDSE 540	Characteristics of Students with Emotional Disturbance and Learning Disabilities
EDSE 555	Language Development and Emerging Literacy
EDSE 615	Early Intervention for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities
EDSE 648	Introduction to Psycho-Educational Assessment
PUAD 502	Administration in Public and Nonprofit Organizations
PUAD 640	Public Policy Process
PUAD 643	Public Policy Research
PUBP 713	Policy and Program Evaluation
SOCI 608	Juvenile Delinquency
SOCI 632	Evaluation Research for Social Programs
SOCI 640	Social Theory and Social Policy

V. ADP COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

Goal

The goals of comprehensive examinations in the ADP program are three-fold:

- 1) *Educational* – To provide doctoral students with an opportunity to learn, review, and synthesize the current knowledge base in the field of developmental psychology.
- 2) *Programmatic* – To assist students as they progress toward the process of writing their dissertation proposal, and
- 3) *Evaluative* – To assess whether students possess sufficient breadth and depth of knowledge and skill in their field to hold the degree they are pursuing.

Eligibility and Registration

Students normally complete all coursework for the doctoral degree (except dissertation credits), before taking comprehensives. Occasionally, a student who trails only one course from the general psychology core or the methods (not statistics) area may be approved to take comps. Such cases are reviewed by ADP faculty individually. Comprehensive exams are offered twice a year; once in January and once in July (see timeline below). Students must register their desire to take comprehensive exams and form their comprehensive examinations committee by the November before Summer comps or by the May before Winter comps. This is done by filling out the two forms below and turning it in to both your advisor and the ADP program director, who both sign the document, which you then submit to Darby Wiggins. The composition of the comprehensive exam committee may be changed up to the date that the specialized reading list is finalized. No change to a committee will be permitted in the case that a retake of the exam is necessary because the student has failed the exam.

Shortly after students are approved to take comprehensives, they will receive more information about the examination, including a sample rating form used by faculty to evaluate student's written performance. Students will also receive at this time the standard reading list for comprehensive exams which is developed and updated at least every other year by ADP faculty.

Procedure

Comprehensive examinations consist of

- (a) a take-home exam and
- (b) a required pre-proposal meeting with your Dissertation Committee (see below and section on Dissertation Proposal).

Take-Home Comprehensive Exam

- 1) For the take-home component, students will receive 3 questions according to the following structure:

General Research Methods/Statistics(All students within track will receive the same question)

Specialized

- One (1) Specialized question will be on the content area of the student's area of specialization
 - One (1) Specialized question will be on methods in the student's area of specialization.
- 2) In collaboration with their advisor/comps committee chair, students will create an additional, specialized reading list for distribution to their comps committee. This specialized reading list will be revised and finalized by the student and his/her comps committee members.
 - 3) Students will prepare three (3) hypothetical comp questions (1 general methods/statistics, 1 specialized content, and 1 specialized methods) for consideration by the comps committee members. The extent to which the student-submitted questions resemble the student's final exam questions will vary and be determined by the committee.
 - 4) Three months before questions are given; a meeting of the student's comps committee takes place in which the group discusses the topics represented on the student's reading list(s), the student's hypothetical questions, and the student's strategies/progress on studying for comps. After the student leaves this meeting, committee members will form a game plan for who writes which questions, covering which areas.
 - 5) Students are encouraged to study together for comps up until the time when they get the questions, at which point there will be no discussion on comps between students.
 - 6) After questions are distributed, students can consult only with their comps committee chair to get clarification/assistance with the comps questions. They may not seek assistance from anyone else after questions are passed out, unless this is approved by the comps committee chair. All communication between the student and comps committee chair concerning clarification/assistance on the questions must be in written form (email is fine).
 - 7) Students must submit two (2) hard copies of each answer and a disk copy of each of the answers (in a format readable by the staff person) to the staff person responsible for processing ADP comprehensive exams. Questions distribution, answer collection, and answer evaluation will all be conducted anonymously, so students, instead of identifying themselves on their answers, need to follow the instructions given to them for coding answers only by code number and/or color.

- (8) Answers must be turned in by the student before the deadline given below (see timetable). Late submission of answers will result in automatic failure of all questions turned in late. Early submission of answers is not only OK, but strongly encouraged in order to avoid disruption from unforeseen/uncontrollable events just before the deadline.

Pre-proposal Dissertation Committee Meeting

At this meeting, the student and the proposed dissertation committee will discuss the students planned theoretical constructs, research methods, and hypotheses for the dissertation (either “regular” or “manuscript style”, drawing liberally, it is hoped, from the specialized questions just written. This meeting should take place within six (6) weeks of notification of passing written comps questions, with scheduling completed within three (3) weeks of such notification.

Evaluation of Take-Home Answers

- 1) Answers must be word-processed and written in APA style (current edition). Each answer is strictly limited to 15, double-spaced pages (not including references), with one-inch margins on all sides and 12-point font. Readers will stop reading after 15 pages and evaluate answers only on the basis of material in the first 15 pages.
- 2) Students can use any written source (i.e., journal articles, textbooks, course notes, books) to help them answer the questions. Students are expected to rely heavily on and their reading lists and the current, relevant research literature to answer the questions. Additional sources outside of the student’s reading lists can be used (and may be needed) depending on the question. Students are required to give appropriate references to the sources they use and to include a reference section in their papers. Plagiarism on the exam will be considered academic misconduct, resulting in automatic failure of the entire examination and possible termination from the program. Students who are unclear on what constitutes plagiarism or the improper paraphrasing of others’ work are advised to ask for guidelines their comps committee chair.
- 3) Answers to exam questions will be assessed along the following 7 dimensions:
 - Comprehensiveness or breadth of diverse material covered
 - Original Integration/Synthesis of material
 - Accuracy – extent to which statements made are factual/correct
 - Scholarly Depth of answer
 - Clarity/Organization of the response
 - Quality of writing – Syntax, spelling, coherence, punctuation...
 - APA style and other Formatting Requirements listed in #1 above

Student answers to each take-home question should be free-standing. That is, for each question, the student should not refer to information included in his/her answers to other take-home questions.

- 4) Exam answers will be independently evaluated on a 12-point scale by two faculty readers. Each general take-home question will be graded by the same set of faculty for all students taking the exam at a particular time. An average rating across the two readers of 8 or higher is required in order to pass the question. If the ratings of the two graders for a question differ by more than 2 points, the raters should try to resolve the discrepancy through discussion. In the rare case that discussion does not lead to a resolution, a third reader should be asked to grade the answer, and the mean of the three graders should be used as the score for that question.
- 5) Students receive feedback on the results of their written exam through written comments made by faculty grading the exam and, in some cases, through individual meetings with graders. There are three possible outcomes: 1) Pass – student passed all 3 written questions, 2) Rewrite – Student must rewrite one failed written questions, or 3) fail – student failed 2 or more questions.
- 6) Failure on the written comprehensive examinations is defined by failing any two or more questions, or, in the case where a student fails one question, by failing to earn a “B” or better (i.e., an average score of “8” or better) across all questions, or by failing any re-write question. In all cases, the student must retake the entire comprehensive examination again and this would take place during the next regularly scheduled time for comps. Ordinarily, the questions asked at the time of retake will be new, although the faculty reserves the right to draft questions that, in their judgment, best fit the interests and development of the student. Students who fail comps will only receive one retake opportunity. Students can re-write a failed comp question only if the average score across all questions is an “8” or better. The same rewrite policy will be effective the second time if a student must retake the entire exam.

A student who fails the exam the second time will be terminated from the program.

Comprehensive Exam Timeline		
Deadline* (Spring comps)	Action(s)	Deadline* (Summer comps)
<i>May 15</i>	Student's intent to take comps is registered and student's comps committee is formed	<i>November 15</i>
<i>June 1</i>	Student's intent to take comps is approved by ADP faculty Student is notified of comps approval and is sent a sample rating form and the reading list. Student begins to construct specialized reading list with advisor	<i>December 1</i>
<i>July 1</i>	Student submits draft of specialized reading list to advisor Student reads and prepares	<i>January 1</i>
<i>September 1</i>	Specialized reading list needs to be finalized and approved by advisor Student reads and prepares	<i>March 1</i>
<i>October 1</i>	Meeting of student's comps committee Student submits (at meeting) 3 hypothetical comp questions to committee members Student reads and prepares	<i>April 1</i>
<i>January 1</i>	Comprehensive exam questions given to student	<i>July 1</i>
<i>January 15</i>	Comprehensive exam answers due	<i>July 15</i>
<i>March 1</i>	Comprehensive exam questions graded Meeting of student's comps committee to determine pass/failure	<i>September 1</i>
<i>March 15</i>	Results are communicated to the student (including re-write requirements)	<i>September 15</i>
<i>April 15</i>	Re-write due to advisor, if student was asked to re-write	<i>October 15</i>

*Note: All deadlines above refer to the first university working day after the date listed if the posted date falls on a weekend or on a day when the university is closed (i.e., Jan. 1).

**Applied Developmental Program
Application for Approval to Take Comprehensive Exams**

Name _____

Expected date of comprehensive exam: _____

Approved POS on file (date): _____

Any courses not completed by expected date of exam:

	<u>Course #</u>	
1. General Core Requirements:		
a. Cognitive	_____	_____
b. Biological	_____	_____
c. Social	_____	_____
d. Developmental	__704__	_____
e. Historical	_____	_____
2. Research Methods (Advanced)	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____

Date of completion of MA thesis (if applicable): _____

Approved _____ Not Approved _____

Signature

Date

Advisor _____

Program Director _____

**Applied Developmental Program
Approval of Ph.D. Comprehensive Exam Committee**

Student's Name _____

Proposed date of Comprehensive Exam _____

Members of the committee _____ (Chair)

Approval

Date

Program Director _____

Department Chair _____

Note: Approval must be obtained by 5/15 for January comps and by 11/15 for July comps.

**Applied Developmental Program
Faculty Approval of Specialized Reading List for Comprehensive Exams**

Signature

Date

Student

**Committee
Chair**

**Committee
Member**

**Committee
Member**

A copy of the specialized reading list should be attached to this form and given to the ADP Director by the following deadlines.

September 1 for January comps

March 1 for July comps

ADP Comprehensive Examination Question Grading Form

Student Code:

Date of Exam:

Current Date:

Reader's Name

General

____ Research Methods/Statistics

Specialized

____ Content

____ Methods

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Other Comments:

12=A+ 11=A 10=A- 9=B+ 8=B 7=B- 6=C+ 5=C 4=C-

In order to pass a question a student must have an average of 8.

Grading Sheet for ADP Comprehensive Examination Answers

A) Comprehensiveness or breadth of diverse material covered

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

B) Original Integration/Synthesis of material

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

C) Accuracy – extent of which statements made are factual/correct

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

D) Scholarly Depth of answer

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

E) Clarity/Organization of the response

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

F) Quality of writing – Syntax, spelling, coherence, punctuation.

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

G) APA style and other Formatting Requirements

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

H) Extent to which the question was answered completely – directions followed etc...

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>OK</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Excellent</i>

VI. ADP PH.D. POLICY ON SATISFACTORY PROGRESS AND EXCEPTIONS

Satisfactory progress denotes that a student has (1) satisfactorily completed at least 15 semester hours of graduate coursework during the academic year, (2) satisfactorily met research and teaching obligations, (3) demonstrated professional behavior (e.g., interpersonal skills and adherence to ethical standards) satisfactory in the judgment of the faculty, and (4) satisfactorily met requirements for timely submission of program documents (such as program of study, plan for remediation, etc) and major written work (such as dissertation).

A student, who, in the judgment of the faculty, fails to make satisfactory progress for a given academic year, will be notified of that. In addition, where feasible, the student will be directed as to what steps are necessary to make satisfactory progress in the coming year; this may include development of a remediation plan by the student. A first finding of unsatisfactory progress normally does not result in dismissal from the program. In serious cases, such as clear violation of professional ethics or clear disregard of program obligations, a first finding of unsatisfactory progress may result in dismissal from the program.

A second finding of unsatisfactory progress normally results in dismissal from the program. An exception to this may be made if the student demonstrates, to the satisfaction of the faculty, that the unsatisfactory progress was caused by factors beyond the student's control, that those factors have changed and are highly unlikely to interfere with satisfactory progress again and that there is a clear plan for timely completion of the degree. An exception to these points regarding second finding of unsatisfactory progress cannot normally be granted without the recommendation of the student's advisor.

A student who anticipates being unable to make satisfactory progress may petition the Program, via the Program Director, for a reduction of the minimum hours, or a leave of absence from the program. Reasons which may be acceptable include (1) personal (financial, family, etc) or (2) medical. In either case, the student must demonstrate that the reasons are temporary, that they are likely to be resolved by the end of the proposed leave/waiver period, and that they are unlikely to prevent satisfactory progress after the end of the proposed leave/waiver period. The student must demonstrate a clear commitment to return to the program after the end of any leave period. A waiver or leave of absence cannot normally be granted without the recommendation of the student's advisor.

Students may not receive more than one year of leave/waiver during their entire program. Students who anticipate that personal or medical problems will prevent satisfactory progress for more than one year should resign from the program. If their circumstances change in the future, they will be considered without prejudice in future admissions decisions. However, they will be evaluated with respect to the applicant pool in the year they reapply, and must submit all normal documentation as part of the application.

Students who are dismissed from the program are ineligible to apply for admission in the future.

ADP Doctoral Student Evaluation Form

Name _____

G# _____

Date _____

	N/A	Poor	Average		Excellent	
1. <u>Research Activity</u>						
a. Knowledge of research area	0	1	2	3	4	5
b. Skills						
Design	0	1	2	3	4	5
Data Gathering/Management	0	1	2	3	4	5
Data Analytic	0	1	2	3	4	5
c. Initiative	0	1	2	3	4	5
d. Effort	0	1	2	3	4	5
e. Creativity	0	1	2	3	4	5
f. Products	0	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

2. <u>Academic Progress</u>						
a. Knowledge of General Literature	0	1	2	3	4	5
b. Classroom Preparation & Participation	0	1	2	3	4	5
c. Oral Skills	0	1	2	3	4	5
d. Written Skills	0	1	2	3	4	5
e. Teaching Skills	0	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

3. <u>Professional Development</u>						
a. Attendance at Colloquia, "Brown Bag" Lunches, etc.	0	1	2	3	4	5
b. Conference Attendance	0	1	2	3	4	5
c. Relationships with colleagues/ other professionals	0	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

4. **Developmental Assessment and Intervention**

For students in the School Psychology option, attach the School Psychology Evaluation checklist.

5. **Identified Strengths**

6. **Identified Weaknesses**

7. **Overall Evaluation (remedial plan, if indicated)**

Advisor's Signature _____ Date _____

Student's Signature _____ Date _____

VII. POLICIES ON COURSE EXEMPTION

Graduate coursework completed elsewhere and other experiences (e.g. work, practica, teaching) completed prior to admission to the doctoral program may be used to meet up to 30 hours of doctoral degree requirements. Credit hours for previous coursework are not transferred. Instead, the number of hours required for the Ph.D. is reduced, usually through exemptions for specific required courses. Such reduction of credit requires the approval of the program director and the dean or director of the school/college/institute, who determine whether the credit is eligible, and whether it is applicable to the specific certificate or degree program.. Reduction of credit is limited to a maximum of 30 credits in a doctoral program; it is not allowed in the ADP MA program because this program total is less than 39 credits (see GMU Catalog, page 43).

Students must file an application for approval to reduce graduate credits earned prior to admission to the Developmental doctoral program. This process is normally carried out during consultation with the faculty advisor about the student's Program of Study. Students with GMU MA's will work out course equivalencies through consultation with their advisors when they complete the Program of Study.

Min. Requirements:

- Previous credits must have been earned within 5 years of admission to the doctoral program.
- A grade of B or higher must have been earned in previous coursework to be used for course exemptions.
- Requests for course exemptions must be made by the end of the spring semester of the student's first year in the program.

Exemption requests must be submitted along with the form entitled "Reduction of Credits for Doctoral, MFA, and Master's Programs". The request is made to the student's advisor, who then is responsible for bringing the request to the Program Director for his/her approval. The Program Director will usually consult with his/her program faculty and with instructors who teach the courses for which the exemptions are sought. If approved, the Program Director is responsible for forwarding the request to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies for his/her approval. Upon approval, the Associate Chair forwards the requests to the Office of the Dean of the CHSS.

To be eligible for reduction, the credit must be graduate credit earned at another accredited university, earned at another institution and recommended for graduate credit in the American Council on Education guidebook, or earned at George Mason while in a non-degree status or while enrolled through Extended Studies. The credit must have been earned within five years prior to first enrollment as an admitted student in the specific certificate or degree program, and a minimum grade of B (3.0) must have been earned. The course must be applicable toward a degree at the institution offering the course. Extension and in service courses that are not intended by the institution offering the courses to be applied to a degree program are not eligible

for reduction in credit to George Mason. The credits cannot have been previously applied toward a degree at another institution or at George Mason.

It is the student's responsibility to provide evidence that the previous courses and/or experiences are the equivalent of the GMU doctoral program requirements. This evidence must consist of at least:

1. A transcript showing the previous coursework.(plus an official transcript evaluation for transcripts from outside the United States and an official translation for transcripts not in English, if these documents were not supplied in the admission process).
2. A copy of the catalogue description(s) of the previous course(s).
3. A syllabus for the course(s).

The student is encouraged to provide any additional information about the course or experience that he/she thinks will help the faculty to make a decision, including a copy of the textbook, exams, and papers written for the course.

A student who is attempting to use previous non-course experience to earn exemptions should work with his/her advisor in constructing evidence for the equivalency of that experience to program requirements.

In addition to the above documentation, program faculty may require a student to take a written or oral examination to earn an exemption from a required course or experience.

Credit from Other Institutions after Admission

Students enrolled in a degree program may take graduate courses at another accredited institution and apply these credits to a master's or doctoral degree with prior approval. Approval must be secured in writing from the director of the graduate program and the dean or director of the school/college/institute and submitted to the Office of the Registrar at George Mason before registering at the other institution. Upon completion of the course, students must arrange for an official transcript to be submitted to the school/college/institute so that the credits may be transferred into their George Mason degree program. These credits are subject to all the other conditions given above for reduction in credit, including limits on numbers of credit that can be taken elsewhere. Permission to take a course elsewhere does not exempt a graduate student from satisfying the degree requirements given below. Enrolled, degree-seeking graduate students may be eligible to take courses through the Consortium of Universities of the Washington Metropolitan Area. See "Enrolling in Consortium Courses." Credits earned through the Consortium are considered resident (not transfer) credits and are therefore not subject to reduction in credit conditions or limitations.

VIII. RESEARCH/PRACTICUM HOURS

Purpose

The purpose of the practica (**PSYC 792**) is to provide learning experiences in the professional application of psychology and in conducting research in work settings (on-site practica) or under direct supervision of a faculty member (in-house practica). Forms for practicum can be found in the appendix.

Fulfilling ADP Practicum Requirements

For Doctoral students in the ADP Program, **8** hours of **research/practicum** credit are required. Directed Research (PSYC 897) may substitute for the in-house practica requirement. Incoming students, including those with MA's from other universities, are expected to enroll for at least 1 credit of 897 each semester during their first two years in the program and to continue doing research while they are enrolled in the program. It is expected that students will have a conference presentation or publication with a research team by the end of the second year and will be first author on a manuscript by the end of the third year.

Doctoral students are eligible to enroll for on-site practica at the time of completion of coursework which is most applicable and relevant to the particular practicum site. Normally this will not occur until after the completion of two full-time semesters of graduate work at GMU. The goals of the on-site practica are to provide both professional socialization and a sufficient range of experiences so that graduates of the program are well-prepared professionals. For suitable placements, students should confer with their advisor and the faculty responsible for practicum.

Students are expected to work a minimum of 100 hours for each **research/ practicum** credit hour for which they are enrolled. Students typically register for 3 hours of practicum at a time, and are allowed a maximum of six hours of **PSYC 792 or 897** per semester.

The Criteria for Practicum

When to Enroll in a Practicum

The key consideration for determining the acceptability of a practicum placement is that it be a *learning experience* for the student within the field of Applied Developmental Psychology. To this extent, the following criteria will be used to assess potential placements:

1. For on-site practica.
 - a. The student must receive on-the-job guidance/instruction from a supervisor with specialized knowledge in an applied area (e.g., a training director, research director,

testing coordinator, etc.). This person will generally possess an advanced degree in psychology or a related discipline.

- b. The practicum experience must not involve only skills already learned. While this might be beneficial to the sponsoring organization, it would not facilitate the acquisition of new knowledge and skills by the student.
 - c. If a student is already employed, no practicum credit will be granted for performance of regular job duties (e.g., those listed in the job description). Employees are generally hired on the basis of current skills; in the performance of regularly assigned duties they typically perfect old skills but seldom acquire *new* professional skills to the extent desirable for a practicum. For this reason, students working full-time will usually not be able to apply these hours toward their practicum. If an employed student can demonstrate that a special project (above and beyond regularly assigned duties) would meet practicum criteria, hours spent on said project may be considered for practicum credit. Such appeals will be decided upon by the committee appointed to assess practicum placement requests.
2. For in-house practica.
 - a. The student participates extensively in a research project with a faculty member. The student is expected to take an active role in most aspects of the project (e.g., searching the literature, gathering and analyzing data, writing the results). The research project may be basic or applied and may be done in conjunction with a research grant or contract held by the faculty member. The practicum culminates with the student submitting a research-oriented manuscript, using APA format.
 - b. Doctoral students who have completed an empirical research master's thesis at another university can apply 3 of them toward the requirement.

Examples of On-site Practica

Faculty responsible for coordinating practica will have a list of available practica sites. On-site practica generally fall into one of the following areas of professional training:

1. Developmental Testing
2. Program planning and evaluation
3. Applied research
4. Child welfare policy

Courses Appropriate for Practicum Placements

Placement in a practicum is greatly facilitated when a student has completed courses relevant to a particular professional area. Since an appreciable amount of advanced and specialized courses are necessary for each placement, students must seek the advice and approval of the practicum faculty coordinator. At minimum, PSYC 611-612 are required.

Procedures for Enrolling in Practicum

Registration for practicum takes careful planning; do not impulsively enroll in **792** because there is nothing left that fits your program. To maximize the potential benefits of this valuable experience, students should follow these recommended procedures:

1. Complete an "Intent to Register" form 60 days prior to registering for practicum and submit it to the faculty member coordinating the upcoming practicum course.
2. Contact leads and interview with potential on-site supervisors.
3. If interview result is promising, file a "Practicum Application" form with the faculty coordinator no later than 1 week prior to the beginning of the semester.
4. During the semester you are registered for Practicum you will be required to attend practicum class meetings.
5. Thirty days prior to the last day of classes, provide the on-site supervisor with a "Practicum Certification" Form to be completed and returned to the faculty coordinator.
6. Complete "Practicum Summary" form for faculty coordinator.
7. Complete the Experiential Learning Agreement and have your site supervisor and the Chair sign it.

Grades

Students receive either S ("satisfactory") or NC ("no credit") grades for the course. If the required number of hours has not been completed and all course requirements fulfilled by the end of the semester, a grade of IP ("In Progress") will be assigned. Upon completion of all requirements, the faculty practicum coordinator will file a change of grade.

**Intent to Registrar for Practicum
in Developmental (PSYC 792)**

Name: _____
Address: _____
Phone Number: _____
Program: _____ MA _____ Ph.D.

Semester when you intend to
register: _____

Specialized Content and Methods Courses that you have completed or are currently enrolled:

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Relevant Professional Work Experience:

Previous Practicum Experience (Site, Nature of Work, # Credits, and Date):

Type of Practicum Preferred:

Practicum Application Form

Part I - To be completed by student

Name: _____ G#: _____ Date: _____

_____ In-House Practicum _____ On-Site Practicum
_____ No. Hours Practicum Credit Requested for this Practicum Site
_____ No. Hours of Previous Credit Earned at this Practicum Site

This Practicum is most related to the following GMU graduate courses in which I am presently enrolled or have completed.

Course	Title	Date Take
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Part II - To be completed by the supervisor

Name: _____ Degree and Date
Awarded: _____

University: _____

Awarding Degree: _____

Area of
Specialization: _____

Brief Description of Current
Work: _____

It is understood _____ will complete his/her Practicum at
that _____

(Student's Name)

In the capacity
of

(Name of
Organization)

(Position Title)

The student will be employed
from

to

(month/date/y
ear)

(month/date/y
ear)

It is expected that the student will perform the following duties:

Organization: _____
Address: _____
Telephone: _____
Supervisor's _____
Signature: _____

Practicum Certification Form

Name of Organization: _____ Date: _____

Name of Student: _____

Did the student complete his/her 300/600 hours of practicum commitment?

Yes _____ No _____ (If no, what were the circumstances of the student's failure to
s _____ complete
the required
hours) _____

What practicum commitment did this student complete?

How would you rate the student's overall performance in the position(s)?

(7=outstanding and 1=unsatisfactory)

What do you consider this student's professional strengths?

What are the areas in which this student would benefit from further professional experience?

Would you be willing to supervise another GMU practicum student at some future date?

Supervisor's
Name:

Position and Title:

Organization:

Telephone

:

Signature:

Practicum Summary Form

Name of Organization: _____ Date: _____

Phone Number: _____

Name of Practicum Site: _____

Address of Practicum
Site: _____

Practicum Site
Supervisor: _____

Telephone of
Supervisor: _____

Do you think this company is willing to supervise another practicum placement?

_____ Yes _____ No

Do you think this supervisor is willing to work with other
students? _____

Are there other practicum possibilities at this site than the work that you did?

_____ Yes _____ No

Please describe other possible practica.

Was this a paid _____ If so, what was the
practicum? _____ salary? _____

Please provide a description of your practicum duties at this
site?

IX. THE DISSERTATION PROPOSAL

The student must submit a dissertation proposal to his/her Doctoral Supervisory Committee.

ADP doctoral students may take 1 to 5 credits of dissertation proposal during the semester prior to sitting for comprehensive exams if they have met the following conditions: (a) the advisor has given approval, (b) the student's request to take comprehensive exams the following semester has been approved by ADP faculty, and (c) the comprehensive exam committee has been approved by ADP faculty.

During the period that the Doctoral Supervisory Committee is reviewing a dissertation proposal, the student is required to enroll in a minimum of 1 hour of PSYC 998 - Dissertation Proposal. Normally the student will make an oral presentation of the dissertation proposal to the entire committee. After this committee approves the dissertation proposal, it is forwarded by the student to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies for approval. After the Graduate Dean has approved the dissertation proposal, the student is ready to enroll in PSYC 999 - Dissertation.

The following are required in order to register for PSYC 999:

1. An approved Program of Study
2. Advancement to candidacy
3. An approved dissertation committee
4. An approved dissertation proposal

The University does not require continuous registration in Dissertation Proposal (PSYC 998) however, you should consult your advisor to outline your Proposal and Dissertation plans. The University will only certify you as having Part-Time status if you are enrolled in 4.5 credits. Full-time status is awarded when a student is registered for 9 credits or 6 credits with a 20-hour teaching assistantship

Advancement to candidacy

Before doctoral students may be advanced to candidacy by the Dean, they should have completed all course work required by the program faculty, have been certified in all doctoral research skills required, have passed the comprehensive candidacy examination, and have been recommended by the doctoral program director. "All coursework required by the program faculty" is viewed by the Dean's Office to refer to all non-elective courses. When a student's record is reviewed, if the student has completed all courses (besides 998/999) except one listed under the Electives section of the Program of Study, they are still allowed to advance (with notation made in their letter that they still have to complete the remaining course before their degree will be conferred). If the student has not completed a course under a non-elective section, they are not allowed to advance until the remaining course has been completed.

Dissertation Proposal Approval Process

Note. Applied Developmental Ph.D. student now have a choice of “regular” dissertation or “manuscript style” dissertation. In what follows, discussion of the “dissertation proposal” refers to the plan for the final study/manuscript for those who opt for the “manuscript style” dissertation.

1. An approved “regular” proposal includes the following:

The proposal contains a clear, focused literature review germane to the dissertation. The committee approves the experimental design, choice of variables investigated, procedures, and the rationale behind the proposal. A 10-20 page literature review is a rough guideline, although relevance of coverage is the primary criterion for length. There is a clear set of hypotheses, and enough detail on planned statistical analysis for the committee to be clear on the planned procedures; the committee is satisfied that the procedures are appropriate to the design, hypotheses, and variables investigated.

2. An approved “manuscript style” proposal is discussed on pages following this section.

3. After proposal approval (either type):

- a. After proposal approval, the committee may NOT require: additional dependent measures and a significant modification to the design
- b. The committee MAY require: a *few* additional statistical analyses if outcomes of planned analyses, upon reflection, indicate this would be appropriate (please see your advisor for assistance when this comes up); updated literature review when the dissertation is final; and extended discussion based upon data and analysis

2. The Proposal Approval Process

- a. The student selects a dissertation advisor with assent of the faculty member.
- b. Student and advisor select a general area for the dissertation and decide whether the student will opt for the “regular” or “manuscript style” dissertation.
- c. In consultation with advisor, student selects a dissertation committee. This generally occurs (informally, due to the candidacy requirement) the semester before student’s comprehensive exams, in order to hold the required pre-proposal meeting after passing comprehensive exams.

The committee must consist of at least three members. At least two members must be faculty in the Department of Psychology (the advisor and one other member), one of whom must be a member of the ADP Faculty. A third member must be chosen from among the graduate faculty within the university outside the Department of Psychology. [Note: assent of faculty members to participate in a dissertation is voluntary. The department expects that all faculty will be willing to participate as advisor or committee member on some dissertations;

participation on a particular dissertation is completely voluntary. If a student cannot obtain the voluntary consent of a committee, the dissertation cannot proceed.]

- d. The student receives approval for the dissertation choice (“regular” or “manuscript style”) his/her committee (see form following this section).
- e. Student, in consultation with advisor, develops and revises rough drafts of proposal..
- f. When advisor agrees that the rough draft proposal is far enough along, the draft is distributed to the committee at least 2 weeks before initial committee meeting. At the initial meeting the committee gives approval or directs changes in the scope and design of the dissertation, with feedback on what changes are required before final approval is given.
- g. The number of meetings of the committee will depend upon the progress of the student. Committee goodwill can be maximized by working individually with the advisor between meetings, and making substantial progress before calling another meeting.
- h. When the committee determines that the proposal is ready to proceed to an oral defense, a courtesy draft is submitted to the department chair, at least two weeks before the meeting at which approval is anticipated. At this time, a review copy will be made available for faculty in the department office, and faculty will be notified of the date and time of the meeting at which approval is anticipated. This meeting is open.
- i. Committee signature on the proposal signifies that the committee agrees that the design, hypotheses, statistical analysis, and literature review are appropriate for a dissertation, and the document is well written. Signature of the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies indicates concurrence.
- i. A hard-copy of the proposal should accompany the signature sheet to the Graduate Program Office for the Associate Chair for Graduate Programs to sign.

ADP Manuscript-Style Dissertation Guidelines

There are two types of dissertations: traditional and manuscript style. For some students—particularly those interested in pursuing an academic career—a manuscript-style dissertation may be a better option than a traditional dissertation. In a manuscript-style dissertation, students prepare multiple, manuscript-length, publishable papers in lieu of one traditional dissertation document. These manuscripts are then presented *en masse* as the student's dissertation. Many students find it helpful to present a line of research when applying for their first job.

The manuscript-style dissertation is at least as rigorous as completing a traditional dissertation in that a student must present a programmatic line of research. This requires that the student be organized, efficient, and thoughtful about pursuing thematic research. As such, this is not a good option for all students and it is up to the student's dissertation committee to approve it as an option for the student.

Requirements

The end product of the manuscript-style dissertation will consist of three publishable manuscripts. One of the manuscripts may be a literature review. In all cases, the final manuscript will be empirical. There are two acceptable forms that a manuscript-style dissertation may take: 1) Two first-authored empirical papers and a first- or second-authored empirical paper, or 2) A first-authored literature review/book chapter with two first-authored empirical papers. Although considerable overlap in theme is expected, each of the three manuscripts must be able to stand on its own.

As with any researcher, a student's research is likely to evolve over time. That being said, it is expected that the three manuscripts will demonstrate programmatic research. To demonstrate these themes, the student will write a brief document to be included as a preface of the dissertation that highlights the themes found across the three manuscripts.

We require that these manuscripts be *publishable* in a respected journal in the field. To the extent that any of the manuscripts are already published, the student's assertion that the manuscripts are publishable is bolstered. However, it is the committee's decision about what is considered 'publishable' and not the editors'. In some circumstances, a committee may even require revisions to a manuscript that has already been accepted for publication (or even published) for inclusion in the dissertation. Some material more suited for a traditional dissertation (e.g., analyses and accompanying discussion) may be required of the student for inclusion in an appendix—even if there is no expectation that it will be included in the journal submission.

As with normal (i.e., non-dissertation) writing, if the student works collaboratively, then it is expected that the collaborators will appear as authors on the manuscript. In other words, the student does not need to be the sole author on the manuscripts. For first-authored manuscripts, it is expected that the student was providing the majority of the intellectual capital and work for the manuscript. For a second-authored manuscript, the student needs to have made substantive

contributions to the manuscript. Other authors will be required to submit a document stating that this was the case.

Sequence of Events

Arguably, one of the more difficult elements of the manuscript style dissertation is the sequence of events that occur for its completion. First and foremost, we encourage students who are interested in pursuing this option to talk to their advisor early in their program. For students who wish to complete the manuscript-style dissertation in a timely manner, it is imperative that they begin writing manuscripts early in their program. As the student approaches the completion of their coursework, at least one manuscript should be published or at least submitted. Another manuscript will need to be nearing completion at the time of comprehensive exams. The goal is to propose one final, empirical study that the student will complete for their final manuscript in their dissertation. At this point, the dissertation proposal for the manuscript-style dissertation is the same as that of a traditional dissertation with one exception: As part of the manuscript-style dissertation proposal, the student will formally ask to include the two prior manuscripts as part of their dissertation (using the Dissertation Proposal Application). *If the committee does not agree, the student will be asked to complete a traditional dissertation.* In other words, the student proposes a final study. That final study will either be completed in long form (traditional dissertation) or short form (manuscript-style dissertation). For those who are pursuing a manuscript style dissertation, a note must be included in any submitted manuscript that the manuscript was completed in partial fulfillment of the author's dissertation. If a paper is accepted for publication, the journal editor must be contacted and provide documentation that online indexing of the dissertation is acceptable.

Possible Sources of Manuscripts:

Most PhD students complete a second year project. This second year project could be subsequently written up as a manuscript.

Some PhD students complete a master's thesis *en route* to completing their PhD. This master's thesis or a masters thesis completed prior to enrolling in the PhD program may be used as a source of one of the manuscripts provided it is thematically aligned and substantively different from the thesis itself.

After completing comprehensive exams, the student may wish to re-write some of their responses into a literature review manuscript.



Dissertation Proposal Application

Applied Developmental Psychology

Please indicate below which option you would like to pursue for your dissertation. (Your advisor should be aware of your choice before you make your selection.) Include this completed sheet when you distribute your proposal.

I, _____ (name), intend to pursue a:

____ Traditional Dissertation

Tentative Title: _____

OR a

____ Manuscript-Style Dissertation

Programmatic Title or Theme of the Manuscripts: _____

Please provide complete references for published work as well as planned work proposed to be included as part of the manuscript-style dissertation following the APA Publication Manual 6th ed. Planned work should include complete list of authors (in the order in which they will appear when the manuscript will be submitted), the title, and the targeted outlet (journal title or the book for which a chapter is being prepared)

1. Reference for first paper: _____

2. Reference for second paper: _____

3. Tentative title of final paper: _____

The committee approves the student to pursue a

____ traditional dissertation.

____ manuscript style dissertation.

Advisor: _____

Date: _____

Member: _____

Date: _____

Member: _____

Date: _____

Member (optional): _____

Date: _____

X. THE DISSERTATION

The Doctoral Supervisory Committee guides the student in the preparation of the dissertation. Specific guidelines, especially for, but not limited to, the “regular” dissertation, may be found in the *Guide for Preparing Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Projects*. This publication may be found at the following link: <http://www.gmu.edu/library/specialcollections/dtwebguide.htm> . A total of twelve (12) hours of PSYC 998 and 999 is required for the doctoral degree (at least 3 hours each of 998 and 999).

Once a student begins enrolling in 998, they are not required to maintain enrollment until the proposal is defended; however, failure to do so will result in suspension of University privileges after the second consecutive term of non-enrollment. To avoid this, students to contact the Graduate Programs Assistant, Ms. Darby Wiggins at dwiggin3@gmu.edu and request a ZREG for those terms. The fee for this is \$45.00.

Students are required to enroll in 3 credits of dissertation in the term immediately preceding the one in which they submitted their Dissertation Proposal Cover Sheet to the Dean’s Office. In each subsequent semester thereafter, students are required to enroll in at least 3 credits of dissertation until they have completed the minimum 12 hours combined. Only after completing the minimum combined 12 hours of dissertation, may a student enroll in 1 credit of dissertation per term. During this period, the University will only certify you as having part-time enrollment status if you are enrolled in 4.5 credits. Full-time status is awarded when a student is registered for 9 credits or 6 credits with a 20-hour teaching assistantship. You are strongly encouraged to discuss your proposal and dissertation credit plans with your advisor.

Students at the ABD stage are strongly advised not to seek full-time off-campus employment. This often jeopardizes attainment of the degree and, at the very least, disrupts its timely completion. Please discuss with your dissertation advisor these issues prior to seeking full-time employment.

During The Dissertation

Normally, frequent committee meetings are unnecessary and burdensome. Frequent consultation with the advisor is essential. Occasional brief progress reports to the committee are often appreciated. Committee consultation is usually necessary only when substantial changes must be made to the approved proposal.

1. Writing up the dissertation

Although committee members may have special expertise (e.g., statistics) requiring consultation during analysis, normally, the analysis, interpretation, and write-up are done by the student in close consultation with the advisor. The dissertation should be submitted to the full committee only when the student and advisor believe that the dissertation is nearly in final form.

The committee, however, is not bound to accept the draft presented. The committee can require some additional changes in writing to clarify the document, etc., or can require a reorganization of major portions of the dissertation before scheduling the oral defense.

When the committee requires revision of the dissertation, the student should work closely with the advisor to address all of the issues before calling another committee meeting, or meeting individually with committee members.

Dissertations cannot go to orals without the assent of all committee members, the Program Director, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. There may be situations where one member of a committee disagrees with the majority of the committee as to whether a draft is appropriate for defense. If the disagreement cannot be reconciled after extensive discussion, and the faculty member strongly disagrees over the quality of the dissertation, it is appropriate for the faculty member to resign from the committee. The dissertation cannot then proceed to orals unless and until the student secures agreement of another faculty member to join the committee. Appointing additional committee members follows the same procedures as original appointment of the committee.

2. In preparation for the defense

The student must provide a copy of the dissertation to the library and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies to make available to the faculty to read before the oral defense. These copies should be available at least two weeks before the scheduled oral defense.

The oral defense should be scheduled through the Graduate Programs Assistant, Darby Wiggins (dwiggin3@gmu.edu) who informs the Graduate Dean of the student's name, the title of the dissertation, the date and location of the oral defense ***at least three weeks before the projected defense date***

Do not ask your Dissertation Committee Chair to schedule orals until he/she has seen and approved your last draft. It is very common for several drafts of the dissertation to be required prior to scheduling your defense and, if all goes well, at least one revision after orals. The dissertation represents the culmination of your program and an important contribution to the body of psychological knowledge. It is the faculty's responsibility to the field and to you that the final product meets a high standard.

Oral Defense of the Dissertation

Summary: The dissertation and its oral defense represent the final demonstration that a doctoral candidate has sufficiently mastered the methods and content of the discipline, that he/she can plan a substantive research project, collect, analyze, and interpret the data, and fit the findings into literature in the area. Students must demonstrate a sufficient mastery of the discipline by showing that they can accomplish these tasks, report the dissertation work in clear technical writing in appropriate format, and defend orally what they have done in each phase of the work.

Prior to the defense: No dissertation can proceed to a defense until each member of the committee and the department chair have signed the Approval to Defend Dissertation form, signifying that each has individually read the dissertation draft and has concluded that it is in final form except for minor changes. This does NOT preclude the committee from stipulating changes (possibly major ones) as a result of the issues raised in the oral examination.

Procedure for the oral defense: The candidate and the examining committee must be present at the defense. An observer from the Dean's office is normally present. Other members of the university community are welcome to attend the defense as observers. Attendance by persons who are not members of the university community (e.g., family members) is not normally allowed.

The defense is chaired by the dissertation advisor, who is responsible for maintaining appropriate professional decorum. The advisor will open the meeting by reviewing procedures to be followed. Although exact procedures will vary depending upon the wishes of the dissertation committee, the procedure will normally open with a presentation of the dissertation work by the candidate. **Historically, this presentation includes the student's guiding the committee through the work done, from significance and aims, to hypotheses, and through methods, analysis, and interpretation (approximately ½ hour in length).**

During and following this presentation, the candidate is examined for thorough mastery of the methods, analysis, and interpretation of the data, and its context in the literature; only members of the examining committee may participate in this examination. If other persons present at the examination wish to question the candidate, they must submit questions in writing to the chairman of the dissertation committee in advance of the oral examination.

After conclusion of the examination, the chairman will ask others present if they wish to ask questions of the candidate. The candidate and others present are then asked to leave the room while the examining committee deliberates; the dean's representative is invited to observe the deliberations. After deliberations, a vote is taken, and the candidate is then brought back into the room and privately informed of the decision of the committee.

The following are appropriate requirements for the oral defense:

1. The student is expected to have mastered the research process as it relates to his/her dissertation, and to have command of the subject matter of the dissertation. The student should be able to answer procedural questions concerning data collection or statistical analysis procedures. For the latter, it is not expected that the student have each formula at his/her fingertips, but that the data analysis be explained conceptually, that it is clear that the student understands the analysis, and that the student be able to demonstrate that the assumptions of the analysis performed were reasonably valid.
2. If the data analysis has been altered since proposal approval, the student should be able to justify changes. If faculty feels that alterations should have been made, the student should be able to explain why no alteration was made. This must be kept reasonable. A student who has conducted a two-group study with a single dependent measure is not liable to questions concerning multivariate analysis. However, completion of the

mechanics of data analysis is not a substitute for thoughtful data analysis, and an understanding of the limitations of analysis.

3. The student must be able to explain how interpretations were derived from the analysis of the data, and how his/her findings fit into and contribute to the existing body of literature in the area.
3. The student must be able to evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of his/her own work, and to project logical extensions of that work.

The dissertation committee is the ultimate judge of whether the student satisfactorily performs the requirements of the oral defense. Decisions of the committee may be either (1) pass, with no changes: the student has completely satisfied the committee, and no changes are required to the dissertations, (2) pass, with changes: the student has satisfied the committee, but stipulated changes must be made to the dissertation before submission*, or (3) fail: the committee is not satisfied with the student's ability to perform the above, and/or such major changes are required in the written dissertation that another exam must be scheduled. In order to pass the exam, all members of the dissertation committee must vote to pass the candidate. All decision of the committee on whether the candidate passes or not, and what changes are required to the written dissertation, are made in a closed meeting of the examining committee immediately following the oral exam; other persons present at the examination may not be present at or take part in the discussion leading to the vote (except that the dean's representative is invited to observe the deliberations).

If a candidate does not pass the oral examination, he/she is allowed a maximum of one additional oral examination to be scheduled only after the committee is satisfied that stipulated changes in the written dissertation have been made.

*The committee may either sign the cover sheet and entrust the advisor to revise the changes before submission of the dissertation, or may require that the candidate circulate the final draft to the committee before signature.

Format Review

The library conducts the review for formatting of all dissertations. Students will submit completed dissertations directly to the library and hand all the requisite paperwork (for University Microfilms International and the National Opinion Research Center). For additional information contact Sara Patton, University Dissertations and Theses Coordinator. He can be reached at (703)993-2222, spatton@gmu.edu, or www.gmu.edu/library/specialcollections/dtwebguide.htm.

Dissertation Submission and Fee

Two original copies on 100 percent cotton bond and one photocopied copy of the dissertation must be deposited with the college/school/institute dean or director for dean/director's signature prior to being transferred to the University Libraries. For degree conferral, two copies with cover sheets signed by committee and dean/director of college/school/institute must be submitted to the library by 5 p.m. on the last Friday of classes

(select timelines link at <http://registar.gmu.edu/gradcheck> for specific deadline date). This is also the deadline for participation in the May commencement. To be included in the published program for university commencement program, students must submit copies as described above to the library by April 15. In addition, submission of the dissertation to University Microfilms International is required; a fee of \$55 is paid by the student for this process. All copies of the dissertation must be submitted and all fees paid before the doctoral degree is awarded.

XI. FACULTY RESEARCH INTERESTS

APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL

Tim Curby	993-2457 / DK 2048	Classrooms as a context for children's behavior and development; Teacher-child interactions; Classroom quality; Development of classroom observational measures; Quantitative methods.
Susanne Denham Director, Applied Developmental Program	993-1378 / DK 1024A	Preschoolers' social-emotional development and its assessment and promotion; Peer competence in preschool and elementary school; Developmental psychopathology; Parenting: Its impact on the above
Kimberly Eby	993-8671 / JC 241	Violence and gender; faculty roles in Interdisciplinary collaborative work; collaborative learning; teaching and learning strategies across the disciplines; responding to the needs of domestic violence survivors.
Elyse Lehman		<i>Faculty Emeritus.</i> Memory, attention, and problem solving in children and older adults; Educational applications-Learning disabilities, gifted children, attention deficit disorder; Everyday cognition-Children's art, soft object attachments; Eyewitness testimony
Robert Pasnak	993-1354 / DK 2049	Cognitive and socioemotional development in preschool, elementary school, and special education children
Koraly Perez-Edgar	993-9366 / DK 2050	Attentional Control and Attentional Biases, Anxiety, Social Reticence/Shyness, Temperament, Biological substrates
Johannes Rojahn	993-4241 / DEM 202	Intellectual and developmental disabilities, autism (Socio-emotional competence, mental health/illness, psychopathology, self-injurious, stereotypic and aggressive behaviors; applied behavior analysis; assessment)

Adam Winsler 993-1881 / DK 2023

Development of self-regulation; Private speech; Bilingualism; ADHD; Early childhood education; School readiness among low-income, ethnically diverse children

BIOPYSCHOLOGY PROGRAM

Linda Chrosniak 993-4139/ DK 2045
Director, Honors Program in Psychology

Research interests include implicit and explicit memory processes, and relationships between stress, cognition and health. In addition, in collaboration with Dr. Flinn, she has investigated effects of trace metals (zinc, copper and iron) on memory processes.

Jane Flinn 993-4107 / DK 2022

The role of metals in learning and memory and in Alzheimer's disease

Craig G. McDonald 993-2277 / DK 2018

Psychophysiology of visual perception and cognition; Drug-induced neuroplasticity; Pavlovian conditioning and addiction

Robert F. Smith 993-4339 / DK 2044
Director, Biopsychology Program

Developmental neuroscience, esp. effects of drugs [currently, nicotine] on adolescent neurobehavioral development. Activity-dependent dendritic growth. Animal models of addiction.

CLINICAL

Lauren Cattaneo 993-4728 / DK 2021

Community and institutional responses to intimate partner violence, helpseeking, risk assessment and empowerment.

Christy Esposito-Smythers
993-2039 / DK 2061

Assessment, prevention, and treatment of adolescent suicide, depression, and substance abuse.

Todd Kashdan 993-9486 / DK 2047

Emotional disturbances, social anxiety, self-regulation, personality, interpersonal processes, positive emotions, well-being, character strengths.

James Maddux	993-3590 / DK 2019	Social-clinical interface; Health psychology; Self-efficacy theory.
Patrick E. McKnight	993-8292 / DK 2065	Health services research, research methods, statistics, measurement, and program evaluation.
Lisa Meier	993-1371 / DEM 202	<i>Director of Center for Psychological Services.</i> Mental Health Administration and Training; Developmental/Cognitive/Learning Disabilities; Diagnostic psychological assessment, Interdisciplinary practice and training.
Jonathan Mohr	993-1279 / DK 2042	Manifestations and consequences of stigma, prejudice, and discrimination; sexual orientation identity; close interpersonal relationships
Keith D. Renshaw	993-5128 / DK 2052	Relationships of adults with anxiety and depression; Adjustment of military service members and their spouses after deployment; Combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder.
John Riskind	993-4094 / DK 2043	Anxiety disorders, Generalized Anxiety and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder, Cognitive Vulnerability factors and processes, Cognitive behavioral theories and treatment, anxiety and suicide ideation, cognitive vulnerability and stress-generation
Jerome Short Director of Clinical Training	993-1368 / DK 2057	Family stress and coping; Prevention programs; Mental health promotion.
June Tangney	993-4051 / DK 2007A	Personality and social psychology, Moral emotions (shame, guilt, and empathy), Criminal behavior and rehabilitation, Substance abuse and HIV risk, Research ethics.

HUMAN FACTORS/APPLIED COGNITION

Carryl Baldwin	993-4653 / DK 2062	Auditory cognition, auditory and multi-modal displays, cognitive aging, speech processing, transportation (highway and air) safety, mental workload, adaptive automation, individual differences, training, spatial navigation and neuroergonomics.
Deborah Boehm-Davis	993-1398 / DK 2003 Department Chairperson	Applied cognition; understanding interruptions and cognitive workload; transportation (Aviation and highway)
C. Alan Boneau		<i>Faculty Emeritus.</i> Recognition memory and magery; Structure of psychology; Psychophysics
Robert Holt		<i>Faculty Emeritus.</i> Social cognition; Pilot cognition; Programmer cognition; Artificial intelligence; Computer assisted instruction; Computer adaptive testing; Relation of physiological measures to cognition
Maria Kozhevnikov	993-1342 / DK 2068	Neural mechanisms of mental imagery; individual differences in basic information processing capacities; cognitive styles; spatial navigation; design learning technologies to accommodate individual differences and learning styles.
Chris Monk	993-3408 / DK 2059 Coordinator, Human Factors/Applied Cognition M.A. Program	Driver cognition, driver performance with in-vehicle devices, human-computer interaction, transportation safety, and interrupted task performance.
Raja Parasuraman	993-1357 / DK 2055 Director, Human Factors/Applied Cognition Program	Human factors and cognitive neuroscience, human performance in human-machine systems, influence of automation and computer technology on attention, memory and vigilance. Cognitive neuroscience of attention using information-processing tasks,

		neuroimaging (ERPs and fMRI) molecular genetics of cognition.
Matt Peterson	993-4255 / DK 2058	Cognitive neuroscience of attention, memory, and perception. Visual attention, visual search, and eye movements. Attentional control and multitasking
James Sanford	993-1351 / DK 2046	
Associate Chair for Undergraduate Studies		Human memory and cognition, false memory, testing effect
Jim Thompson	993-1342 / DK 2056	Cognitive neuroscience, including fMRI and ERPs; biological motion; social cognition; robotics.

INDUSTRIAL/ORGANIZATIONAL

Louis Buffardi	993-1363 / DK 3072	
Coordinator, Industrial/Organizational M.A. Program		Employee attitudes; Quality of work life organizational surveys; Work and family issues; Human error
Jose Cortina	993-1347 / DK 3074	
Associate Chair for Graduate Studies		Statistical interaction; Philosophy of quantitative analysis; Personality testing
Reeshad Dalal	993-9487 / DK 3077	Employee performance, and its links with mood/emotions, job attitudes and individual differences, and advice-giving and advice-taking from a decision-making perspective.
Theodore Gessner		<i>Faculty Emeritus.</i> Evaluation research; Survey research; Person perception; Humor
Seth Kaplan	993-8475 / DK 3073	Personality, emotions, and well-being at work. Understanding the meaning and the psychological experience of work. Psychometric and statistical issues.
Eden King	993-1620 / DK 3076	Effective and equitable management of diversity in organizations, discrimination, social stigma in the context of work

Lois Tetrick 993-1372 / DK 3066A
Director, Industrial/Organizational Program

Occupational health psychology including stress, work-family, and safety; psychological contracts and the employment relationships; cross-cultural aspects of industrial organizational psychology; innovation; motivation, and compensation.

Stephen Zaccaro 993-1355 / DK 3066B

Organizational Leadership; Leader Development; Group processes; Training; Job attitudes; Occupational Stress; Absenteeism.

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

John Blaha

Faculty Emeritus. Assessment, learning disabilities

Grover Foehlinger 993-5127 / DKH 2063
Director, School Psychology M.A./CAGS

Program evaluation.

Jack Naglieri 993-3811 / DEM 202

Theory and measurement of intelligence, test development, cognitive processing, nonverbal assessment, nonbiased assessment of minorities, academic interventions, theory and measurement of resilience, learning disabilities, ADHD, gifted, autism, cross cultural assessment, and gender differences.

Johannes Rojahn 993-4241 / DEM 202

Intellectual and developmental disabilities, autism (Socio-emotional competence, mental health/illness, psychopathology, self-injurious, stereotypic and aggressive behaviors; applied behavior analysis; assessment)

Ellen Rowe 993-4266 / DEM 202C

Assessment and remediation of social, emotional, and behavioral problems among children and adolescents and developmental psychopathology.

APPENDICES

Graduate Student Resources

Information for students on University and Colleges policies, registration services, financial aid, supportive services, thesis/dissertation policy and graduation can be found on the Graduate Student Information webpage. Additionally, Program Handbooks, Programs of Study (POS), commonly used forms as well as other useful resources can be located here. Students are encouraged to bookmark this link and visit it often.

<http://mason.gmu.edu/~dwiggin3/GradPsychResources.html>

Course Descriptions

Course descriptions appear in the University Catalog <http://ijju.gmu.edu/catalog/index.html> Syllabi for some courses can be found on the Department of Psychology's website (<http://www.gmu.edu/departments/psychology/homepage/syllabi.html>) Syllabi also are on file in the Department of Psychology Undergraduate Office.

Guidelines for Graduate Student Grievances Against Faculty

February 7, 1996

During the course of graduate study, disagreement and conflict may arise between students and faculty either during formal classroom instruction or in the more informal individual instruction that takes place during the supervision of research and practical experiences. Indeed, the nature of the close working relationships inherent in graduate education in psychology, especially in a program with an applied focus, almost guarantees that conflict will arise on occasion.

When such conflict does arise, the Department expects that both the student(s) and faculty involved will conduct themselves in a professional manner. In addition, the Department is committed to ensuring that students and faculty are treated fairly when such disagreements arise. To this end, the Department endorses the following principles and guidelines for resolving disagreements and conflicts between students and faculty regarding instruction, training, and student-faculty relationships. (NOTE: Student concerns about faculty behavior that involves sexual harassment or racial/ethnic/gender discrimination should be handled according to the University guidelines provided in this manual.) The resolution of disagreement and grievances will be resolved more effectively if the following principles are kept in mind.

Faculty

1. The professional performance and behavior of faculty is subject to continual evaluation and review, including evaluation and review by students. Student evaluation may, on occasion, involve the resolution of a complaint by a student concerning faculty performance.
2. The Department expects faculty to treat a student's concerns with dignity and respect. Essential to this is listening to a student's concern attentively and nondefensively. Although defensiveness is difficult to avoid when one believes one is being unfairly criticized or challenged, nondefensive listening is the first step toward a successful resolution of a conflict. Nondefensive listening may be facilitated by recognition of the apprehension and anxiety a subordinate (the student) usually feels when confronting a person of power and authority.

Students

1. Faculty and students enter into an educational alliance whose objective is the imparting to students knowledge and skill. As part of this alliance, faculty are responsible for setting standards for mastery of this knowledge and skill and for evaluating students' progress toward meeting these standards. Students in professional psychology programs provide services to various types of clients (individuals and organizations), and faculty are ultimately responsible for the quality of these services. Thus, faculty evaluation of student performance and progress provides assurance of the quality of these services.

2. Graduate education is, by nature, difficult, demanding, and stressful (If it wasn't, anyone could get a Ph.D). Thus, subjective distress alone is not a valid indicator that a course is inappropriately demanding or that a student is being treated unfairly by a faculty member.
3. In trying to fulfill their responsibility in setting standards and evaluating students' progress, faculty will, on occasion, make errors in judgment that are usually unintentional. Even for faculty, to err is human, and most student grievances concern faculty behavior that is nonmalevolent in intent. Nonetheless, when such errors create problems or hardships for students, they have the right to address their concerns with the faculty in question.
4. The ability to effectively address and resolve disagreement and conflict in a mature manner is essential for the effective functioning of a professional psychologist in any setting. Thus, disagreement and conflict with faculty offers an opportunity for personal and professional development.
5. Faculty also deserve to be treated with respect and dignity. Complaining about faculty behavior to one's Program Director or the Department Chairperson is a serious matter and should not be done with malicious intent or simply to seek retribution for a perceived wrong or slight. Also, approaching a faculty member in an angry or hostile manner or complaining to others about the behavior of the faculty member is not an effective strategy for resolving conflict. Students also should be prepared to listen nondefensively to a faculty member's explanation of his/her side of the conflict.
6. The Department cannot guarantee that resolution of a complaint or conflict will be favorable to the student. Nor should faculty expect that the issue will be resolved in their favor simply based on their position as faculty. The Department does guarantee, however, that students and faculty will be fully heard, that their concerns will be treated with dignity, and that an honest attempt will be made to reach a reasonable solution.
7. A student who, in good faith and in keeping with the above principles and with the procedures outlined below, complains about faculty behavior will be protected from retribution by the faculty member in question and by other faculty to the extent that the university has control over faculty behavior. Retributive or vengeful behavior by faculty toward a student complainee will not be tolerated. The Department has no control, however, over a faculty member's emotions, and a faculty member may decide to sever a working relationship (e.g., dissertation supervision, collaborative research or writing project) with a student following a complaint that the faculty member views as frivolous, unfounded, or malicious. Faculty who do so will not necessarily be viewed as engaging in retributive behavior. If a faculty advisor terminates a working relationship with a student following a complaint by that student against that advisor, the Department will make a good faith effort to secure another advisor for that student. The Department cannot, however, force a faculty member to work with a student.

Grievance Procedures

With these caveats in mind, the Department recommends that a graduate student who has concerns about the professional behavior of a faculty member take the following steps in the following order. Following these procedures will better ensure that the grievance will be resolved expeditiously and fairly.

1. Discuss the problem with the faculty member in question. Many disagreements, disputes, and conflicts between faculty and students are the result of miscommunication or misinformation and can be resolved informally between the concerned parties.

Consultation with the academic advisor usually will be helpful in determining whether or not a grievance is legitimate and in developing an effective strategy for presenting the concern to the faculty member in question. If a student cannot discuss the concern with his/her advisor, the student should consult another faculty member. The goal of such a consultation is to seek advice, not to spread rumor or simply complain.

The faculty with whom the student consults concerning the grievance incurs certain responsibilities by agreeing to serve in this capacity: (1) To review with the student the Departmental policy and procedures described here. (2) To assist the student in determining the legitimacy of his/her concern and in developing a plan for discussing the concern with the faculty in question. In addition, the advisor may also choose a more active role in the resolution of the grievance by serving as the student's advocate or as a mediator. If the advisor/advocate believes that the faculty member in question has committed an illegal act or ethical violation, he/she should consult the Ethical Guidelines of the American Psychological Association for further information.

2. If the discussion with the faculty member with whom the student has a concern does not produce a fair resolution, the student should consult with his/her advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the student's Program Director.
3. If consultation with the Program Director does not produce a fair resolution, the student should consult with his/her advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the Associate Chairperson for Graduate Studies. The Associate Chairperson may appoint an ad hoc committee charged with working with the student and faculty member in resolving the grievance. This committee may include a graduate student as a member.
4. If consultation with the Associate Chairperson for Graduate Studies does not produce a satisfactory resolution, the student has the option of bringing the matter to the attention of the Department Chairperson.
5. If consultation with the Department Chairperson does not produce a satisfactory resolution, the student should consult with his/her advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the office of the Dean of the College Humanities and Social Sciences

Teaching Opportunities

Teaching Assistantship Descriptions and Qualifications

Please note that the indicated workload is an estimate and is based on the university standards. Actual workload, especially the first time in an assignment, will usually be greater.

PSYC 100	Introductory Psychology (two 10 hr appts). Qualifications: Admission to graduate program. Responsibilities: (10 hr appt). Assist instructor for two or three large lecture sections; construct/administer/grade exams, keep records.
PSYC 300	Statistics (six-eight 10 hr appts). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 611/612 Responsibilities: (10 hr appt) each two 2 hr labs - research design, APA format; conducting/writing up labs; extensive grading of papers.
PSYC 304	Principles of Learning (one 15 hr appt). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 611/612 + 701 Responsibilities: (15 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs - research design; conducting/writing up labs demo labs; some grading of papers.
PSYC 305	Memory and Cognition (six 10 hr appts). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 611/612 Responsibilities: (10 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs - research design, APA format; conducting/writing up labs; extensive grading or papers.
PSYC 309	Perception (one 15 hr appt - spring). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 611/612 + 766 Responsibilities: (15 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs – research design; conducting/writing up labs, demo labs; some grading of papers.
PSYC 320	Tests and measurements (one 10 hr appt - spring). Qualifications: B+ or better in graduate testing course Responsibilities: (10 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs - demonstration of tests, grading, working problems, quizzes, etc.
PSYC 323	Clinical and Social Research Techniques (one 15 hr appt - fall). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 703 and one of 650 or 612 Responsibilities: (15 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs - teach research design; conducting/writing up labs, demo labs; grade papers.

PSYC 373	Physiological Psychology (one 15 hr appt). Qualifications: B+ or better in PSYC 702 Responsibilities: (15 hr appt) teach two 2 hr labs - neuroanatomy of sheep, human research techniques; administer grade quizzes, paper.
PSYC 611 & 612	Quantitative Methods I & II (one 20 hr appt). Qualifications: A in PSYC 611/612 Responsibilities: (20 hr appt) teach two 2 hr lab – computer use; use of statistical packages, calculator work; matching design to type of statistical test; interpretation of data analysis.
PSYC 709	Measurement of Intelligence (one 10 hr appt - fall) Qualifications: A in PSYC 790 Responsibilities: teach lab.
PSYC 710	Personality Assessment (one 10 hr appt - spring) Qualifications: A in PSYC 710 Responsibilities: teach lab.
PSYC 722	Advanced Child Assessment (one 10 hr appt - fall) Qualifications: A in PSYC 722 Responsibilities: teach lab.
PSYC 750	School Practicum (one 10 hr appt - spring) Qualifications: A in PSYC 750 Responsibilities: teach assessment testing.
PSYC 810 & 811	Measurement of Intelligence and Personality Assessment (two 10 hr appt) Qualifications: A in PSYC 810/811 Responsibilities: (10 hr appt) teach lab work in assessment.

Teaching Undergraduate Courses

Graduate students are often hired as Instructors of undergraduate courses. Qualifications for these positions are: B+ or better in corresponding graduate course; at least 18 hours graduate coursework completed. Responsibilities for a 10 hour appointment: Teach one 3 hour course; responsibility for all lectures/exams/student help/office hours, etc.

All graduate students who wish to teach must take the Summer Teaching Mentoring course taught by Dr. Smith.

COURSE	GRADUATE COURSE PREREQUISITE
211	704
220	508
230	3 I/O courses
231	703
300	previously TA'ed 300
304	701 and previously TA'ed 304
305	701 and previously TA'ed 305
309	766 and previously TA'ed 309
313	704
314	704
320	557 or 631
321	2 nd year status in Clinical Doctoral program
322	831
325	616
326	880
330	506 and two clinical courses
372	702 or 558 and 559
373	702 or 558 and 559

Dissertation, Thesis and Travel Support

Guidelines for Dissertation, Thesis, and Travel Support Applications

July 2000

Dissertation and Thesis Support

The department will provide up to \$400 to help cover the cost of dissertation research and up to \$250 to cover the cost of master's thesis research. These funds are to be used to assist in the collection of data, including payment to participants if the research requires a population not readily available at the University. All equipment, books, software, tests, etc that are purchased with department funds becomes the property of the department. These funds are provided in the form of reimbursements for expenses, not cash grants or up-front money paid to vendors. Therefore, keep good records of your expenses, including all receipts. Only original receipts (not photocopies) will be accepted.

Requests should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. To apply, you must provide:

1. A copy of the signature sheet of your approved dissertation or thesis proposal.
2. A budget that specifies how you plan to spend the money.
 - The budget must be signed by your advisor.
 - If the budget includes copying, office supplies, postage, and other such items that can be purchased by or through the department, the budget must be reviewed and initialed by Dana Park prior to submission.
3. A statement from your advisor that he/she does not have funds to support this research (e.g., from a grant).

Travel Support

The department will provide up to \$300 to **doctoral** students to help pay for travel to a conference at which the student is presenting. To be eligible, the student must be either first author of the paper/poster or second author if the first author is a faculty member. Ordinarily only one such request per year will be approved. The department will help pay for airfare and conference registration but not lodging, meals, taxis, etc.

Requests should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. To apply, you must provide:

1. A letter from the conference indicating that your paper, poster, etc. has been accepted.
2. A budget describing how you plan to spend the money, including the exact cost of the airfare and/or conference registration fee.

3. Evidence that you have at least attempted to get money from other sources, including the organization sponsoring the conferences (some but not all offer support for students presenting), your advisor's grant, the University's graduate student organization (student may apply for Graduate Student Umbrella funds and department funds simultaneous but must provide evidence of GSU award or refusal before department funds will be awarded (www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/GSTF.html). Evidence of an attempt to get money from the University's graduate student organization is required.
4. All of the above must be submitted at least **two months** prior to the date of travel.

Following approval of your request for funds, you must **immediately** complete a **Travel Authorization Form**, which must be signed by the Chair before travel arrangements can be made. No travel expenses will be reimbursed unless the Travel Authorization Form has been signed by the Chair prior to the travel.

Reimbursement request worksheets (obtained in DK 2003) with original receipts (not photocopies) must be submitted within one week of completion of travel. Nametags cannot be submitted in place of a conference registration receipt. You may make your own travel arrangement. You must submit a boarding pass with your airline ticket receipt. Dates of travel and cost must be on the ticket receipt. Travel authorizations can be found by contacting Susan Ridley

Outside Sources

- Cosmos Club – Deadlines are early Fall Funding ranges between \$1,000 - \$2,000 (not exceeding \$3,000). This is a consortium grant for graduate students in any program.
- Check out Dr. Winsler's web page! <http://classweb.gmu.edu/awinsler/ordp/>

DBSPO Travel Grants

The Developmental/Biopsychology/School Psychology student organization (DBSPO) has created/facilitated methods to obtain travel funds, as well, as follows:

BEFORE TRAVEL:

At least two weeks before traveling*, students should fill out the following forms:

The Travel Authorization

http://fiscal.gmu.edu/forms/Travel%20Forms/TRAVELAU_081904.pdf

The Risk Assessment Form

<http://www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/pdfs/risk.pdf>

- * These forms must be typed. Handwritten forms will not be accepted.
- * ORG numbers can be obtained from the student organization president or treasurer.
- * Students must sign both forms, and each student's advisor must sign the Travel Authorization form.
- * Completed forms should be turned in to the Student Activities Office in Sub I, Room 101. Be sure that they reach the student organization's "umbrella leader."

DURING TRAVEL:

While traveling, be sure to keep ALL travel receipts (e.g., travel itineraries, boarding passes, itemized receipts, credit card statements, etc.) Documentation of travel spending is critical for reimbursement purposes.

FOLLOWING TRAVEL:

Within two weeks of returning from travel, students should schedule an appointment with Rosalynn Martin (rholder@gmu.edu), the Student Activities Business Manager, to review travel spending for reimbursement purposes.

*These general procedures pertain to applying for travel funding from student organizations and from the Graduate Student Travel Fund. For information regarding additional requirements for Graduate Student Travel Fund reimbursement (e.g., eligibility guidelines, required paperwork, application deadlines), see the Graduate Student Travel Fund website (<http://www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/>).

SIN Travel Grants

Each year SIN sponsors students to go to Neuroscience. This year SIN sponsored 11 students to go! If you are interested. Dr. Flinn is the faculty advisor.

ADP Travel Grants

There are a limited amount of funds through the DBS program. Please contact Dr. Denham for further information

The Graduate Student Travel Fund**Mission Statement**

The Graduate Student Travel Fund Program (GSTF) was established to help George Mason University Graduate students attend and participate in professional conferences pertaining to their field of study. Composed of the Chair and the Officers of the Graduate Student Umbrella (GSU) the GSTF distribute funds received from the Office of the Provost and student fee funds from the Student Funding Board (SFB).

Outside of hearings to delegate funds, the GSTF strives to create policies to ensure fairness and efficiency in the funding process. The GSTF serves as an advocate for graduate students as it lobbies for additional funds from both the Mason community and outside sources.

The Scope and Purpose of the Graduate Student Fund

The GSTF has been delegated the responsibility for administering funds by the President of the University for graduate student travel to conferences. It is accountable to the Administration and to the graduate student body at George Mason University. In order to be accountable, the GSTF must establish and enforce procedures and policies that will allow it to account for the allocation and use of all funds under its jurisdiction.

The Graduate Student Travel Fund (GSTF) was established due to a need for this particular type of support by graduate students. This particular need fell outside of the original scope of the Student Funding Board (SFB) which provides funds for organizational programs that meet most, if not all, of the seven “program standards” as established by the original Board of the SFB. These standards stress a level of academic institutional and campus-wide excellence that is hard to match at the individual level. The scope of the Student Funding Board is to provide money to enable organizations within GMU to promote their particular “cause”.

Organizations are allowed to request money from the SFB for conferences for GROUPS of people; however, not all individuals (specifically graduate students) belong to, or are represented by recognized organizations.

The GSTF was thus established to help fund individuals to attend and participate in conferences. The “request standards” set up by the board include the individuals’ planned participation in the conference, the professional nature of the conference as well as student need.

It was decided by the original Board that conference travel was a crucial part in academic growth and development in that it provided critical training of this specific type; in addition, it also promotes George Mason by having representation at both the national and international level.

Recent request have been made of the GSTF with regard to providing funds for individuals in need of dissertation support or interviewing travel. After review of these requests it was decided to remain within the originally planned scope of the GSTF to only provide funds for conference travel

Evaluating requests for conference travel is relatively easy as there are certain criteria that are evaluated – whether a student is presenting a poster versus a talk: where on the authorship list a student falls: whether the student is chairing a session or volunteering for the conference, etc. Evaluating requests for individual travel outside of conference travel could become more and more difficult as the Board would then be faced with making “value” judgments on the type of travel a student is doing - value judgments that would leave the GSTF more vulnerable to appeals and points of contention.

- These funds come from Student Life (basically student fees, therefore, the budget varies from year to year). Any graduate student can apply for these funds. The maximum amount awarded to any one person is \$200.00, and depends on the student’s level of participation at the conference – **THIS DOES NOT MEAN YOU ARE GARENTEED \$200.00!**
- To apply for these funds you can pick up the necessary paper work in SUB I room 101. The packets should be hanging in a box on the wall as you walk in (right side). If you cannot find it just ask the receptionist to help you.
- Please adhere to deadlines found on the website, www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/GSTF.html.

Department Wide

- Travel support is available only to doctoral students who plan to travel to a professional or scientific meeting or convention for the purpose of presenting research or other scholarly or professional product for which the student had the primary role or a major role in designing and implementing (e.g. if you are fourth author on a Poster presentation, we are unlikely to give you money).
- Travel awards will typically be limited to \$300, but exceptions will be made under special circumstances.
- This money is to be used for travel expenses (i.e., getting to and from the meeting) and/or convention registration, but NOT for lodging and/or for meals. If the meeting takes place in the Washington area, we will only pay for registration.
- Students requesting travel support must provide evidence that the paper or poster has been accepted at the meeting.
- Students must also provide evidence that they have sought or are seeking other sources of travel support such as a faculty grant or contract, the organization sponsoring the meeting (many have student travel funds), and GMU's Graduate Student Travel Fund. The department is the place of last resort for travel funds, not first resort.
- A student who accepts money must keep all receipts of expenditures and turn them in to the department (the same we ask of faculty).
- The student agrees to take part in at least one session of the GMU Phonathon.

How to apply:

Submit the following information to the Department budget staff:

1. A letter from the conference indicating that your paper, poster, etc. has been accepted.
2. A budget describing how you plan to spend the money, including the exact cost of the airfare and/or conference registration fee.
3. Evidence that you have at least attempted to get money from other sources, including the organization sponsoring the conference (some but not all offer support for students presenting), your advisor's grant, the University's graduate student organization (student may apply for Graduate Student Umbrella and department funds simultaneous but must provide evidence of GSU award or refusal before department funds will be awarded (<http://www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/GSTF.html>)). Evidence of an attempt to get money from the University's graduate student organization is required.
4. All of the above must be submitted at least two months prior to the date of travel

Following the approval of your request for funds, you must immediately complete a Travel Authorization Form, which must be signed by the Chair before the travel arrangements can be made. No travel expenses will be reimbursed unless the Travel Authorization Form has been signed by the Chair prior to the travel.

Outside Dissertation Source Support

<p style="text-align: center;">Need Help financing your dissertation research?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This chart provides a sampling of funding possibilities for psychology doctoral students. Many other federal agencies and private foundations offer grants and fellowships as well. Students should also explore grants at their university, use graduate funding resource books or search for dissertation funds on the World Wide Web.</p>				
Sponsor	Program	Type of grant	Annual Deadline	Contact
American Association for University Women	American Fellowships Program	For women in their final year of dissertation writing.	Request application between July 15 and Nov. 1. Application due Nov 1.	Phone: (319) 337-1716 Website: www.aauw.org
APA's Div. 12 Section III (Society for a Science of Clinical Psychology – SSCP	SSCP Mesh Honorary Scholarship for Research in Psychology	For dissertation research	Jan. 31	APAGS Scholarship Program, at the APA address. Phone: (202) 336-6014
APA's Science Directorate	Dissertation awards	Assists science-oriented students with dissertation research costs	Sept. 17	Website: www.apa.org/Science/dissinfo
American Psychological Foundation/APA Science Directorate	Todd E. Husted Memorial Award	For science-oriented students investigating the improvement of services for the severely mentally ill.	Sept. 17	Website: www.apa.org/Science/dissinfo
Harry Frank Guggenheim Foundation	Dissertation Fellowships	For dissertation research on violence, aggression and crime	Feb. 1	Harry Frank Guggenheim Foundation 527 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10022 Website: hfg.org
Health Care Financing Administration Office of	Dissertation Fellowship Grants	For dissertation research on the delivery of	To be announced	Phone: (410) 786-5181

Research and Demonstrations	Program	financing of health-care services.		
Murray Research Center	Jeanne Humphrey Block Dissertation Award	For women investigating female psychological development	April 1	Phone: (617) 495-8140 Website: www.radcliffe.edu/murray
Murray Research Center	Henry A. Murray Dissertation Award	For research in the social and behavioral sciences	April 1	Phone: (617) 495-8140 Website: www.radcliff.edu/murray
National Foundation for Jewish Culture	Maurice and Marilyn Cohen Fund for Doctoral Dissertation Fellowships in Jewish Studies	For doctoral research. Application must have proficiency in a Jewish language.	Early January	Phone: (212) 629-0500, Ext. 205 Website: www.jewishculture.org
National Institute of Justice	Graduate Research Fellowship Program	For criminal justice research, including dissertation research	To be announced	Phone: (202) 307-2942 Website: www.ojp.usdoj.gov/nij
National Research Council	Ford Foundation Dissertation Fellowships for Minorities	For minorities pursuing a career in teaching and research	Nov. 15	Phone: (202) 334-2872
National Science Foundation (Social, Behavioral and Economic	Linguistic Program-Grants for Improving Doctoral Dissertation Research	For scientific research on natural human language.	Application accepted year round.	Phone: (703) 306-1731 Website: www.nsf.gov
Spencer Foundation	Dissertation Fellowship Program	For research on the improvement of education	Application available June 1.	Phone: (312) 337-7000 Website: www.spencer.org
Social Science Research Council	The Sexuality Research Fellowship Program	For social and behavioral dissertation research on	Dec. 15	Phone: (212) 377-2700 Website: www.ssrc.org

		sexuality		
Social Science Research Council	International Dissertation Field Research Fellowship Program	Support for field research in all regions of the world	Early November	Phone: (212) 377-2700 Website: www.ssrc.org
U.S. Department of Education	Fulbright-Hays Doctoral Dissertation Research Abroad Program	For dissertation research abroad	Late October	Phone: (202) 401-9774
United States Institute of Peace	Peach Scholar Dissertation Fellowship Competition	For research that explores strategies to end international conflict and sustain peace	Early November	Phone: (202) 429-3886 Website: www.usip.org
Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation	Charlotte W. Newcombe Dissertation Fellowships	For research on religious or ethical values	Early December	Phone: (609) 452-7007 Website: www.woodrow.org
Woodrow Wilson National Fellowship Foundation	Women's Studies Doctoral Dissertation Grants	For research on women's issues. (A special grant is available for dissertation research on women's health)	Early November	Phone: (609) 452-7007 Website: www.woodrow.org

DBS Graduate Student Awards

1. Outstanding Doctoral Student Award

For ADP Ph.D. students in Developmental. This award is NOT restricted to students who are graduating. Evaluation is based on overall excellence in the areas of research, teaching, and service (community, university, department, and DBS) and academic standing (minimum GPA = 3.5).

2. Outstanding Dissertation Award

For a graduating/graduated ADP Ph.D. student who has successfully defended the dissertation. Evaluation is based only on the quality/magnitude/contribution of the dissertation. Materials submitted include a.) full dissertation, b.) 5-7 page abstract, and c.) letter of support from dissertation chair.

3. Outstanding MA Student Award

For a second year (or beyond) ADP MA student..Evaluation is based on overall excellence in the areas of research, teaching, service (community, university, department, and DBS), quality of thesis/practicum, and academic standing (minimum GPA = 3.5).

4. Outstanding School Psychology Student Award

For a graduating School Psychology student who has finished all requirements (i.e., internship, etc.). Evaluation is based on overall excellence in the areas of clinical skill, professionalism, internship excellence, research, teaching, service (community, university, department, and DBS) and academic standing (minimum GPA = 3.5).

PROCEDURES

The application procedure is initiated by the student who submits the required materials by the deadline. The portfolio submitted to initiate the consideration process should include the following for all awards (except dissertation award): CV, unofficial GMU transcript, letter(s) of recommendation (1 required from faculty, others optional), teaching evaluations (if available), and brief statements for each area evaluated for the award.