

College of Humanities and Social Sciences
Department of Psychology

George Mason University

Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program

Student/Faculty Handbook

2007-2008

(Last Updated: September 6, 2007)

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	5
II. MEMBERS OF THE CLINICAL FACULTY.....	6
III. WHO'S WHO IN THE DEPARTMENT.....	7
IV. CLINICAL PROGRAM CURRICULUM.....	9
TRAINING MODEL AND GOALS	9
REQUIREMENTS.....	9
<i>TYPICAL SCHEDULE</i>	11
ELECTIVES.....	12
EXTERNSHIP (PSYC 885-NO COURSE CREDIT)	12
INTERNSHIP	13
PROGRAM OF STUDY	14
REDUCTION OF DEGREE REQUIREMENTS	15
OUTSIDE EMPLOYMENT	15
V. THE ADVISOR.....	17
THE ADVISOR-ADVISEE RELATIONSHIP	17
ASSIGNMENT AND SELECTION OF ADVISORS	17
VI. THE CLINICAL PRACTICUM SUPERVISOR	18
ASSIGNMENT AND SELECTION OF SUPERVISORS	18
THE SUPERVISOR-SUPERVISEE RELATIONSHIP.....	18
SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES	18
SUPERVISEE RESPONSIBILITIES.....	19
VII. THE CENTER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES.....	20
VIII. CONFERRAL OF MASTER'S DEGREE.....	21
REQUIREMENTS:.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
IX. THE COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION	22
THE CLINICAL ORAL EXAMINATION	23
<i>Overview</i>	23

<i>Oral Examination</i>	23
<i>Preparation</i>	24
X. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH STUDENT EVALUATION.....	27
COMMENDATION	27
VERIFICATION OF SATISFACTORY PROGRESS	27
LETTER OF CONCERN	27
PROBATION	27
TERMINATION	27
DISMISSAL.....	28
XI. RESEARCH EXPECTATIONS	29
XII. ADVANCEMENT TO CANDIDACY & TIME LIMIT TO COMPLETION.....	30
EXTENSION POLICY.....	30
XIII. THE DOCTORAL DISSERTATION	31
DISSERTATION REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS	31
THE DISSERTATION PROPOSAL	32
<i>Selection of Advisor</i>	32
<i>The Dissertation Committee</i>	32
<i>Writing the Proposal</i>	32
<i>Approval of the Proposal</i>	33
THE DISSERTATION	33
<i>Conducting the Research</i>	33
<i>Writing the Dissertation</i>	33
<i>Dissertation Defense</i>	33
AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF THE DISSERTATION	35
XIV. PROFESSIONAL ETHICS	36
POLICY ON DISCRIMINATION	36
SEXUAL HARASSMENT.....	36
XV. GUIDELINES FOR GRADUATE STUDENT GRIEVANCES AGAINST FACULTY	37
XVI. DISSERTATION, THESIS, AND TRAVEL SUPPORT.....	40
<i>Dissertation and Thesis Support</i>	40
<i>Travel Support</i>	40

XVII: REDUCED-FEE PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES FOR CLINICAL STUDENTS.	42
XVIII. RESEARCH INTERESTS OF NON-CLINICAL FACULTY	44
APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL	44
HUMAN FACTORS/APPLIED COGNITION	45
INDUSTRIAL/ORGANIZATIONAL	46
SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM	46
XIX. APPENDICES	48
COURSE DESCRIPTIONS	49
STUDENT RESOURCES	49
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY	50
PROGRAM OF STUDY	50
APPLICATION FOR EQUIVALENCY EXAMINATION FOR A REQUIRED COURSE	52
SUPERVISION EVALUATION FORM	53
PRACTICUM SUPERVISOR EVALUATION FORM	56
CLINICAL ORAL EXAMINATION FEEDBACK SHEET	58
EXTERN EVALUATION FORM	61
EVALUATION OF EXTERNSHIP SITE	64
CLINICAL RESEARCH COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION FEEDBACK FORM	66
RESEARCH ACTIVITY REPORT	69
APPROVAL TO DEFEND DISSERTATION	71

I. INTRODUCTION

This handbook provides information about the Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program that is needed by the faculty members and students for planning the student's program of study. It is revised annually. During the year, updates are issued to students and faculty via email as policies and procedures are modified.

Please consult the University Catalog for the University's policies and procedures for doctoral degree programs. The Catalog contains a lot of important information that is not contained in this Handbook.

In addition to becoming familiar with the contents of this Handbook and the policies and procedures described in the catalog, students are strongly encouraged to maintain close and continual contact with their Advisors.

James E. Maddux
Director of Clinical Training

II. MEMBERS OF THE CLINICAL FACULTY

Lauren Cattaneo	993-4728	Community and institutional responses to intimate partner violence, help-seeking; risk assessment.
Todd Kashdan	993-9486	Anxiety and depressive conditions (especially social anxiety); self-regulation,; risk and resilience processes; assessment and cultivation of positive emotions and traits.
James Maddux	993-3590	<i>Director of Clinical Training</i> Social-clinical interface; social-cognitive theories of behavior change; close relationships; conceptions of mental disorder.
Patrick McKnight	993-8292	Research methods and statistics, program evaluation.
Lisa Meier	993-1371	<i>Director of Center for Psychological Services</i> Psychological and educational assessment; management of mental health delivery systems; evaluation of student therapist services.
Jonathan Mohr	993-1279	Manifestations and consequences of stigma; sexual orientation identity; close interpersonal relationships.
John Riskind	993-4094	Cognitive determinants of anxiety, fear, and worry; obsessive-compulsive disorder; social-cognitive vulnerability to psychopathology.
Jerome Short	993-1368	<i>Associate Chair for Graduate Studies</i> Family stress and coping; prevention programs; substance abuse treatment
June Tangney	993-4051	Personality, social, and emotional development; criminal rehabilitation.

III. WHO'S WHO IN THE DEPARTMENT

Department Chair:

Dr. Deborah Boehm-Davis 993-1398 / DK 2003 dbdavis@gmu.edu

Associate Chair for Graduate Studies:

Dr. Jerome Short 993-1368 / DK 2045 jshort@gmu.edu

Associate Chair for Undergraduate Studies:

Dr. James Sanford 993-1351 / DK 2046 jsanford@gmu.edu

Office Manager:

Ms. Susan Ridley 993-1398 / DK 2003 sridley@gmu.edu

Graduate Program Assistant:

Ms. Darby Wiggins 993-1548 / DK 2014 dwiggin3@gmu.edu

Undergraduate Program Assistant:

Ms. Jennifer Barrett 993-1759 / DK 2086 jbarrett5@gmu.edu

Grants and Budget Administrator:

Ms. Pat Sperry 993-1495 / DK 2003 psperry@gmu.edu

Fiscal Services Assistant:

TBD 993-3235 / DK 2003

Administrative Support Specialist:

Ms. Sara Montiel 993-1384 / DK 2001 smontiel@gmu.edu

Teaching Assistant Coordinator:

Dr. Michael Hurley 993-1384 / DK 2086 mhurley2@gmu.edu

Laboratory Manager:

Mr. Dave Cerri 993-1353 / DK 2024 dcerri@gmu.edu

Applied Developmental Ph.D & M.A. Coordinator:

Dr. Susanne Denham 993-1378 / DK 1024A sdenham@gmu.edu

Biopsychology M.A. & Ph.D. Coordinator:

Dr. Robert Smith 993-4339 / DK 2044 bsmith@gmu.edu

Director of Clinical Training:

Dr. James Maddux 993-3592 / DK 2019 jmaddux@gmu.edu

Director of the Psychological Clinic:

Dr. Lisa Meier 993-1371 / Clinic 202

lmeier@gmu.edu

Human Factor/Applied Cognition Program Coordinator:

Dr. Raja Parasuraman 993-1357 / DK 2055

rparasur@gmu.edu

Human Factor/Applied Cognition M.A. Coordinator:

Dr. Chris Monk 993-3408 / DK 2059

cmonk@gmu.edu

Industrial/Organizational Program Coordinator:

Dr. Lois Tetrick 993-1372 / DK 3066A

ltetrick@gmu.edu

Industrial/Organizational M.A. Coordinator:

Dr. Louis Buffardi 993-1363 / DK 3072

buffardi@gmu.edu

School Psychology/CAGS Coordinator:

Dr. Jack Naglieri 993-3811 / Clinic 202

jnaglier@gmu.edu

IV. CLINICAL PROGRAM CURRICULUM

Training Model and Goals

The APA-accredited clinical psychology doctoral program at George Mason University is committed to the scientist-practitioner model. The program is unique in approaching clinical psychology from *social psychological* and *community* perspectives. A social psychological perspective uses theory and research from social psychology (especially social cognition) to understand emotional, cognitive, behavioral, and interpersonal functioning. A community perspective stresses the impact of social and cultural factors on the individual and the impact of the individual on the community. These perspectives share the assumption that psychological well-being, adjustment, and dysfunction can only be understood in the context of the individual's relationships, community, and culture. Most of the faculty members employ *cognitive-behavioral* and *interpersonal* approaches to applied clinical activities, but humanistic, existential, and psychodynamic perspectives also are represented in clinical supervision and in the curriculum.

Our goal is to train clinical psychologists who are capable of integrating research and applied clinical activities. Toward this end, we provide rigorous training in scientific methods and clinical activities, with an emphasis on those that are informed by empirical research. This training encourages students to be integrative and innovative while guided by the principles of science and ethics.

We aim to train students who have the flexibility to fill the evolving functions of clinical psychologists, including research, direct provision of clinical services, supervision, program development and evaluation, and consultation. We are interested in students who wish to become leaders and innovators in the profession and in society. Toward this end, we prepare students to work in a diverse and changing society by integrating multicultural perspectives into coursework, clinical training, and research.

We believe that clinical psychologists have a responsibility to have a positive impact on individuals and communities.

Requirements

A minimum of 72 graduate credits is required for the Ph.D. — 20 hours of general courses, 31 hours of core clinical courses, 9 hours of electives, and 12 hours of dissertation. The nine hours of electives should be centered on a theme (e.g., clinical child psychology, cognitive-behavioral therapy, clinical research). These electives can be formal courses, independent readings courses, practica, or other approved experiences. With approval, students can take elective courses offered by the other universities that belong to the Washington Area Consortium of Universities (<http://registrar.gmu.edu/consortium>).

All courses must be passed with a grade of “A” or “B”. A student who earns a “C” or lower in a course will have a second opportunity to take the course and pass with an acceptable grade. A student who fails to meet this requirement the second time may be removed from the program.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS.....	20
Biological Basis of Behavior	3
(PSYC 702, 558, or 559)	
Developmental Basis of Behavior.....	3
(PSYC 704, 666, or 669)	
Social & Cognitive Foundations of Clinical Psychology (PSYC 833).....	3
History/Theories of Personality and Psychotherapy (PSYC 830).....	3
Advanced Statistics I (PSYC 611).....	4
Advanced Statistics II (PSYC 612).....	4
CLINICAL REQUIREMENTS.....	31
Psychological Assessment I (PSYC 810)	4
Psychological Assessment II (PSYC 811).....	4
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology I (PSYC 822).....	3
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology II (PSYC 823).....	3
Social-Cognitive Interventions in Clinical Psychology (PSYC 831).....	3
Community Psychology I (PSYC 840).....	3
Community Psychology II (PSYC 841).....	3
Practicum in Clinical Psychology (PSYC 881)	7
Professional Seminar (PSYC 890).....	1
ELECTIVES (9).....	9
Dissertation (PSYC 998 & 999).....	12
(Students must take at least 3 hours of 998 and at least 3 hours of 999)	
Internship (Full time for one year—no course credit)	
Optional: Part-time Externship in the 2 ND and/or 3 RD year (no course credit)	
TOTAL.....	72
(Students who earn the Clinical MA must have 74 hours.)	

TYPICAL SCHEDULE

YEAR 1 (23)

FALL (11)

Psychological Assessment I (810) (4)
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology 1 (822) (3)
Advanced Statistics I (611) (4)

SPRING (12)

Psychological Assessment II (811) (4)
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology II (823) (3)
Advanced Statistics II (612) (4)
Practicum in Clinical Psychology (881) (1)
(Attend second-year supervision group)

YEAR 2 (25)

FALL (13)

Social & Cognitive Foundations (833) (3)
Social-Cognitive Interventions (831) (3)
Practicum in Clinical Psychology (881) (3)
Community Psychology I (3)
Professional Seminar (890) (1)

SPRING (12)

History/Theories of Personality & Psychotherapy (830) (3)
Practicum in Clinical Psychology (881) (3)
Community Psychology II (3)
Elective or Biological or Developmental Bases (3)

YEAR THREE (18)

FALL (9)

Biological or Developmental Bases (3)
Elective (3)
Dissertation Proposal (998) (3)
Externship (Optional)

SPRING (9)

Biological or Developmental Bases (3)
Elective (3)
Dissertation Proposal (998) (3)
Externship (Optional)

YEAR FOUR (6)

FALL (3)

Dissertation (999) (3)

SPRING (3)

Dissertation (999) (3)

***YEAR FIVE: Internship**

* In order to apply for internship in the third year of the program, a student must have an approved dissertation proposal by December 1 of the third year. Students who apply in the fourth year must have an approved dissertation proposal by November 1 of the fourth year.

Electives

An “elective” is defined as any non-required coursework that is directly relevant to a student’s education and training as a clinical psychologist, as determined by the student and the advisor. A “course” is defined as any experience that is awarded credit hours by the university. Courses can be regularly offered courses, special topics (PSYC 892), directed readings and research (PSYC 897), or practica (PSYC 881). (This list is not meant to be exclusive.). Research experiences already required by the program (second-year project, dissertation) cannot be used to meet the elective requirement.

“Directly relevant” is a difficult term to define. Decisions will be made on a case-by-case basis. A good example of an ineligible course is a course in Spanish. A probably eligible course would be a course dealing with mental health issues among the Latino population in the United States. A course on accounting would be ineligible. A course dealing with management issues in health care settings might be eligible.

Eligible courses include those offered by the Department of Psychology, other departments and schools at George Mason University, and the universities in the Consortium of Universities in the Washington DC Metropolitan Area (see University Catalogue for more information). If at all possible, elective courses should comprise a “package” of experiences that focus on a theme (e.g., a special population, a specific disorder, a specific skill such as research methods and statistics).

Electives must be approved by a student’s advisor and the Director of Clinical Training (DCT). A request for approval of an elective should be accompanied by a catalogue description of the course, a syllabus, and a brief explanation of how the course meets the student’s education and training needs

Externship (PSYC 885-no course credit)

Although optional, most students will take a 16-20 hour Clinical Psychology Externship in the third year. The decision whether or to take an externship should be made in consultation with the advisor and will depend largely on the student’s career goals.

Information about externship sites can be found on the Clinical Program homepage. Students are also encouraged to consult with their advisors, the DCT, and current senior students in selecting sites and preparing their applications. The externship site should have an established training program, offer a full range of psychological services and training experiences, and provide weekly supervision by a qualified mental health professional.

The Clinical program cannot guarantee a student an externship position. Students from the various clinical doctoral programs in the Washington compete for these positions. The application process usually involves submission of a formal application along with a curriculum vita, and letters of recommendation and personal interview.

Applications usually are made in early February to mid-March for positions beginning the following academic year. Students need to contact the desired sites for application information and to independently proceed with the application process.

Because training experiences, theoretical orientation, and supervisory styles vary widely across externship sites, students should carefully investigate the sites of interest to them before applying and before accepting an offer.

Twice yearly the DCT will distribute copies of the Extern Evaluation Form (see Appendix) to students on externships. It is the student's responsibility to get the externship supervisor to complete the form and return it to the DCT. Externship evaluations will be made available for review by the members of the clinical faculty and will be kept in the student's file.

Students also are asked to complete the Evaluation of Externship Site form (see Appendices) and return it to the DCT upon completion of the externship.

Internship

Internship consists of one year of full-time employment (2,000 hours) as a clinical psychologist in any number of different mental health and health care settings, including psychiatric hospitals, medical hospitals, university counseling centers, and community mental health centers. A few sites offer a two-year 20-hour a week internship.

The internship must be in clinical psychology (as opposed to school or counseling psychology) and must be APA-accredited. A student must have the permission of the advisor and the DCT to apply to sites that are not APA-accredited. Prior to application, the student must submit a written request that includes details of the program, the training it offers, and a rationale for application to that program.

Information about training sites is available from the Association of Psychology Postdoctoral and Internship Centers (www.appic.org).

Eligibility. A student is eligible for internship when he/she has passed the doctoral comprehensive exams, has an approved dissertation proposal, and will have completed all coursework prior to the beginning of the internship. Students are encouraged to complete the dissertation before leaving for internship.

In order to apply for internship in the third year of the program, a student must have an approved dissertation proposal by December 1 of the third year. Students who apply in the fourth year must have an approved dissertation proposal by November 1 of the fourth year.

Process. Students intending to apply for internships meet their advisors in the summer of early fall of the application year to discuss and select internship sites that will best meet their training needs.

Once students have selected the internship sites to which they intend to apply, they must turn in a list of these selections, signed by the faculty advisor, to the DCT.

Internship selection and offers are made through a computerized Matching Program coordinated by APPIC. Students must register with APPIC for the Matching Program by early December and use a standardized application form. (Some internship sites may have their own

applications or supplemental forms they wish students to submit in addition to the APPIC form.)

One of the application forms requires a detailed accounting of specific clinical experiences. Completion of this form will be much easier if the student keeps a running log of clinical experiences and hours beginning in their first semester. Please note also that one of the forms is a verification of readiness for internship that must be signed by the DCT. You will need, therefore, to submit one copy of your completed application and the requisite forms from each application to the DCT with sufficient time for the DCT to review and endorse it (at least one week).

Students usually are invited for interviews by internship programs usually during December and January. Both internship programs and students applicants submit rankings expressing their preferences, usually in mid January. Soon after that (usually 2-3 weeks), on a single designated Match Day, students are informed of the internship site with which they have been matched, and then must contact the site to accept and make arrangements for the internship year. Students also should inform the DCT and their advisors about the results of their internship application.

Applicants not matched through this process may then participate in the APPIC Clearinghouse, which begins shortly after distribution of match results and provides information on program that still have vacancies. A student may not arrange his/her own internship outside of the APPIC matching or Clearinghouse process without prior consultation with and permission of the advisor and the DCT.

Evaluations of the student's performance are provided by internship supervisors at the end of the internship year, reviewed by the DCT and clinical faculty, and placed in the student's file. Internships use their own evaluation forms, not a program form.

Internship Registration: During internship, students must register for Special Registration. Special Registration costs \$45.00 and allows the students to maintain active status without paying for additional graduate credit. Contact the Graduate Programs Assistant, Darby Wiggins (dwiggin3@gmu.edu), for more information about registration.

Program of Study

Students are required to prepare a Program of Study (see Appendices) that indicates the courses the student has taken and plans to take to satisfy graduation requirements (but not semester-by-semester). This is a University requirement and must be completed and approved before the student may be advanced to candidacy. Thus, most students complete the Program of Study form and have it approved **by the end of the spring semester of the second year**. The Program of Study must be approved by the advisor, the DCT, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies.

Students should meet with their advisors before the end of the first year to review the Program and Study and develop a plan for the next several years (e.g., electives, externship).

Reduction of Degree Requirements

Students may apply for reduction of up to 30 hours of doctoral coursework based on prior graduate coursework. To do so, the student must present documentation and written justification for these reductions. Documentation consists of the following information:

1. Course title and a transcript showing the grade for the course.
 2. A copy of the catalog description of the course.
 3. A syllabus for the course or a list of topics covered in the course.
 4. Identification of the text(s) used in the course.
 5. A written description of how the course(s) fits into a coherent program of study.
- Requests for reductions must be made by the beginning of the second year of study.
 - Requests for reductions must be approved by the advisor, the DCT, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies.

Equivalency Examinations: A student may wish to petition for an equivalency examination when he/she has not had a graduate course or courses in an area required for his/her degree but believes that he/she has acquired equivalent knowledge and therefore, should be exempted from taking the course. In this case, the student should present an especially strong justification for being allowed to take an equivalency examination. Appropriate forms are available in the department office (See Appendices).

Application for permission to take an equivalency examination should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies at least eight (8) weeks before scheduled examination times. Such a request is forwarded to a committee appointed by the Associate Chair, and the committee will determine if the request is to be granted. The committee consists of faculty with expertise in the area of the examination and the student's advisor who serves as an ad hoc, non-voting member of the committee.

The equivalency examination is compiled by the appointed committee should a request be granted. The examination will consist of essay questions and will be a minimum of six (6) hours. Criteria for passing an equivalency examination are 85%, which represents the average of the grades of all the committee members.

See University Catalogue for other policies regarding reductions and transfers of credit.

Outside Employment

A student who seeks employment involving direct provision of clinical services in a setting that does not have a training relationship with the Clinical program (e.g., a private practice) must submit a written request to the advisor and the DCT prior to accepting such a position. This request must include a description of the job tasks, number of hours per week the student plans to work, a summary of the student's training and education experiences that establish his/her competence for the position, and a description of the supervision he/she will receive. Students cannot begin such employment without the approval of the advisor and the DCT. Students are encouraged to consult with the DCT prior to entering into discussions with outside employers.

Students who engage in employment activities of a psychological nature must comply with the American Psychological Association Ethical Principles and the regulations of the of Virginia Board of Psychology.

Although evaluations of graduate student performance in these positions will not be solicited by the program, unsolicited reports of student performance from these settings may be considered in evaluation of the student by the program.

V. THE ADVISOR

The Advisor-Advisee Relationship

The advisor serves a number of functions. He/she helps the student determine the schedule of classes for each semester; answers questions about the program; serves as the student's advocate; helps guide the student in the selection of specialty and research interests; helps the student develop a Program of Study; helps the student in decision-making regarding externship and internship applications; helps with the formation of the Dissertation Committee; provides feedback to the student following departmental student evaluations made at the end of each semester. The advisor also is the first point of contact if problems arise and should be consulted before program changes are made. Typically, but not always, the advisor serves as the student's research mentor and dissertation supervisor. **The student shares in the responsibility for developing a relationship within which he/she may achieve his/her academic goals.**

Assignment and Selection of Advisors

Entering students are initially assigned an advisor by the DCT based on a best-fit match between student and faculty research interests. The advisor helps the student become oriented to the program during the first semester and answers questions on an as-needed basis.

A student may later select a different advisor. Typically, this new advisor serves as the student's research mentor and eventual dissertation supervisor. This decision should be made by the end of the first semester of the first year. Students should notify the DCT of this decision.

The student may later change advisors if his/her research interest change. Both student and advisor are free to terminate the relationship if it proves unsatisfactory to either. The student must inform the DCT if he/she decides to change advisors.

VI. THE CLINICAL PRACTICUM SUPERVISOR

Assignment and Selection of Supervisors

By the end of the first year, clinical students should meet and negotiate among themselves for second-year Clinical Practicum (PSYC 881) supervisors. If supervisors for Spring semesters differ from those in Fall semester, students will meet again at the end of the Fall semester and make selections for the Spring semester. Students must distribute themselves roughly equally among available supervisors. The means by which this is accomplished is left to the discretion of the students. The DCT has the option of making changes in these assignments.

The Supervisor-Supervisee Relationship

It is the responsibility of the supervisor to provide feedback and guidance, evaluate the student's clinical skills, and serve as a role model during the early development of the student's clinical skills.

The supervisor supervises the student's work with psychotherapy and assessment clients. The supervisor and the Director of the Center for Psychological Services determine the number and type of clients to be seen by students, although the usual expectation is 2-4 psychotherapy clients at a given time. In addition, the supervisor supervises three Comprehensive Assessments completed with Center clients during the academic year (fall and spring semesters). These assessments must be completed within eight weeks of the assignment of the client to the student.

The nature of the supervision experience will vary among supervisors. Different supervisors will emphasize different clinical techniques and theoretical approaches. In addition, the format of supervisory sessions may differ. The form in which clinical material is presented and discussed also will vary among supervisors (e.g., listening to taped sessions versus reading typed transcripts). There are some basic similarities in what supervisors will do, as specified in Supervisor's Responsibilities (below).

The supervisor evaluates the student's clinical skills at the end of each semester and completes the Supervision Evaluation Form (See Appendices), which becomes part of the student's permanent record.

Students also evaluate the supervisors at the end of each semester using the Practicum Supervisor Evaluation Form (See Appendices). These evaluations are submitted to the DCT.

Supervisor Responsibilities

1. Knowledge about the use and interpretation of the major assessment instruments/strategies routinely used in the clinical assessment courses and practica, including;

Stanford Binet	Child Behavior Checklist	PAI
WAIS and WISC	Diagnostic interviewing	
MMPI	Woodcock-Johnson	

The degree to which specific strategies/instruments are employed will vary among supervisors.

2. Familiarity with the APA Ethical Code.

3. Listening to tapes (audio or video) of students' interactions with clients on a regular basis. The way in which tapes are used as a supervisory tool will vary among supervisors.
4. Providing at least 30 minutes of individual supervision per week and at least 90 minutes of group supervision per week.
5. Providing ongoing feedback to each supervisee either verbally or in writing.
6. Completing the Supervision Evaluation Form and reviewing it with the student at the end of each semester.
7. Distributing the Practicum Supervisor Evaluation Form to their supervisees at the end of each semester.
8. Helping/training students seek out and use consultants, including other members of the faculty.
9. Being familiar with Clinical Program Handbook and the Center for Psychological Services Handbook.
10. Attending the Center for Psychological Services Orientation at the beginning of the Fall semester.
11. Maintaining a professional manner in connection with clients and supervisees. This includes returning phone calls and replying to emails promptly, being on time for meetings, spending adequate time on each case, and reviewing and initialing client file entries.
12. Returning reports, progress notes, and other written material to supervisees in a timely manner. Supervisors are required to provide feedback to students on drafts of psychological assessment reports within *one week* of receipt of the report.
13. Reviewing with students the lists of supervisors and supervisees' responsibilities and the forms used for evaluation, during the first supervision meeting of the year.

Supervisee Responsibilities

1. Bringing tapes or transcripts of therapy or assessment sessions or process notes to supervision as requested by the supervisor.
2. Making sure that assessment instruments and scoring have been checked by the appropriate Center staff before they are presented in supervision.
3. Promptly completing psychological reports, process notes, intake and termination summaries and other written material.
4. Making and maintaining client contacts in a prompt and professional manner.
5. Being familiar with the current APA Ethical Code.
6. Being familiar with the policies and procedures of the Center for Psychological Services.
7. Attending the Center for Psychological Services Orientation at the beginning of the Fall semester.
8. Treating clients and supervisors with respect and professionalism, including being on time for supervision.
9. Being prepared for supervision meetings, including reviewing tapes ahead of time, and formulating questions for the supervisor.
10. Completing and turning in to the DCT the evaluation of the Practicum Supervisor Evaluation Form.

VII. THE CENTER FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES

The George Mason University Center for Psychological Services (formerly the Psychological Clinic) has been in operation since 1976 and is the primary training facility for students completing practicum requirements in the clinical psychology doctoral program and school psychology MA/CAGS program. The Center is located off campus, within the northern Virginia community to allow for easier access by clients who are drawn from northern Virginia, the District of Columbia, and Maryland. Center services include intellectual, academic, and psychological assessments; short-term and long-term psychological interventions for individuals, couples, and families; and psychological consultations. The Center has always had as part of its mission the goal of serving as a research facility where faculty and students can contribute to scholarship psychology.

In addition, Center staff positions allow students to receive hands-on training in various aspects of mental health administration. The Center is staffed by graduate students who receive stipends for working in various administrative and clinical service positions such as Intake Coordinator, Clinical Records Specialist, Budget/Purchasing Coordinator, and Supervisory Assistant. All administrative and clinical activities performed by these graduate students are supervised by the Center Director, Dr. Lisa Meier, a licensed clinical psychologist. Post-practicum students have the opportunity to receive supervised and paid experience in the delivery of service to clients and also in providing supervision to first and second year practicum students.

A comprehensive Center Handbook describes Center policies and procedures, including guidelines for personnel using the Center (e.g., confidentiality, supervision, scheduling), procedural guidelines for assessment and psychotherapy, commonly used forms, and ethical principles of psychologists.

Proper attire: The Center for Psychological Services has a professional dress code. Students and faculty in the suite during service hours are expected to abide by the dress code, even if he/she not working with a client. Prohibited at the Center are jeans, shorts, mini-skirts, tank tops/camisoles (unless covered with an over-shirt of some sort), sneakers, flip flops, baseball caps, and any attire that is excessively revealing. Clients come to the Center to consult with a professional. Attire that is too casual or too revealing takes away from the professional atmosphere and can influence a client's perception of the quality of service and client confidence in the person delivering the service.

Criminal background investigations: Because the Center provides services to minors, in accordance with University and Department of Psychology policy, all incoming clinical doctoral students will be subject to a criminal background investigation before the beginning of the Fall semester. More information on this policy can be found at www.gmu.edu/facstaff/policy/newpolicy/2221adm.html

VIII. CONFERRAL OF MASTER'S DEGREE

Students who have been admitted to the doctoral program with a concentration in clinical psychology may apply for the MA in Clinical Psychology upon completion of the 32 hours of coursework designated below. Students must also be in good standing in the program, as determined by the DCT.

The MA is awarded only to students admitted to the clinical doctoral concentration. The MA may qualify the student for a larger salary in University positions, as well as increasing his or her eligibility for off-campus employment.

Although only 72 credit hours are required for the PhD, the University requires that students who earn the 32-hour MA in Clinical Psychology earn an additional two credit hours toward the PhD, for a total of 74. Additional hours of PSYC 998 or 999 may be used to meet this requirement.

The student must initiate and complete all the administrative procedures for obtaining the Master's degree, including the following:

1. Submitting a "Secondary Program Application" form at the Admissions Office.
2. Checking and/or updating your graduation expected date on 4GMU or via Mason Online <http://registrar.gmu.edu>
3. Submitting a "Graduation Intent Forms (GIFs) via Mason Online <http://registrar.gmu.edu> and obtaining approval from Advisor, DCT, and Associate Chair of Graduate Studies.
4. Requesting to change all "IP" to final grades.

Requirements:

Psychological Assessment I (PSYC 810) (4)
Psychological Assessment II (PSYC 811) (4)
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology I (PSYC 822) (3)
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology II (PSYC 823) (3)
Advanced Statistics I (PSYC 611) (4)
Advanced Statistics II (PSYC 612) (4)
Practicum in Clinical Psychology (PSYC 881) (3)
Seminar in Professional Psychology (PSYC 890) (1)

Two of the following courses:

Biological bases of behavior (PSYC 558, 559, or 702) (3)
Developmental bases of behavior (666, 669, or 704) (3)
Social and Cognitive Foundations of Clinical Psychology (PSYC 833) (3)
History, Systems, and Theories of Personality and Psychotherapy (PSYC 830) (3)
Social-Cognitive Interventions in Clinical Psychology (PSYC 831) (3)
Community Psychology I (PSYC 840) (3)
Community Psychology II (PSCY 841) (3)

IX. THE COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

The University requires that all doctoral students undergo a written comprehensive examination, with the option of an oral examination in addition to the written examination. The comprehensive examination in Clinical Psychology consists of two parts: (1) a research paper to evaluate the student's research knowledge and abilities and (2) a clinical oral examination to evaluate the student's clinical knowledge and abilities.

The Research Comprehensive Examination

By September 1 of the third year, students are required to complete, under the direction of the research advisor, an empirical research project involving either the collection of new data or an original analysis of existing data. The project must be initiated after the student has entered the clinical program and must be approved by the research advisor before work on the project can begin. The student also will write by September 1 of the third year an APA-formatted article that is suitable for submission to a peer-reviewed journal. (Actual submission to a journal is not required as part of the examination).

The article will be graded by two members of the clinical faculty—the research advisor and one other member of the clinical faculty to be selected by the student and the advisor.

The article will be graded using the **Clinical Research Comprehensive Examination Feedback Form** (See Appendices). The following scale will be used for determining passing and failing of the article.

Strong Pass.....	3.5 to 4.0
Pass.....	2.5 to <3.5
Marginal Pass	2.0 to < 2.5
Failure.....	< 2.0

The two readers will complete the feedback form jointly and sign the form. The advisor will review the form with the student, who will then also sign the form. The signed form will be submitted to the DCT by October 1.

In the fall semester of the third year, each student will give a 30 minute or so presentation based on the article to a meeting of the Clinical Faculty and students. The presentation will not be graded.

Procedures following failure of exam:

- The clinical faculty as a whole will review the article and meet to discuss and make recommendations for a remediation plan.
- By November 1, the advisor and student will submit to the DCT a remediation plan based on the recommendation of the clinical faculty. This plan will consist of specific revisions that must be made in the article in order to earn a passing grade. The plan may also consist of remediation experiences such as additional coursework, directed readings, consultation with statistical consultants, etc. The plan must be signed by the advisor and student and dated.

- A revised article must be submitted by the following September 1.
- The same two-person committee will review by October 1 the resubmitted article following the procedures used in the first review.
- *Failure to obtain a passing grade on the revision will result in dismissal from the Clinical program.*

The Clinical Oral Examination

Overview

The oral exam requires the presentation of a videotaped intake interview with an assessment or consultation client of the Center for Psychological Services and a written report based on the interview. A two-person faculty committee reviews the videotape and the report and examines the student for basic clinical skills and case conceptualization.

To be eligible for the oral exam, the student must complete all coursework required in the first two years with a grade of “A” or “B”.

The oral exam may be held in the summer following the second year if the committee members agree. The oral exam must be completed by the end of the fall semester of the third year.

The oral exam is not considered passed and completed until the student has satisfactorily completed a comprehensive assessment or consultation with the assigned client and the Director of the Center for Psychological Services has notified the DCT in writing that the work has been completed. The report must be completed within 8 weeks of the oral examination.

Exceptions to these rules are rare and require approval of the advisor, the DCT, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. A student who wishes to take the exam without completing one or more of these requirements or who wishes to postpone the exam must submit a written request, with the endorsement of his/her advisor, to the DCT.

Oral Examination

The examining committee. The DCT will assign an examining committee usually consisting of two members of the Clinical Faculty. A student may request that a third member be appointed. The committee must have as a member at least one full-time member of the Clinical faculty. The student’s second-year practicum supervisor typically serves as chairperson of the committee who is responsible for conducting the examination. The remaining one or two members of the committee will be assigned by the DCT. Should problems arise in the formation of the committee, the student should consult the DCT. If a student’s second-year practicum was at the Counseling Center, that student’s primary supervisor at the Counseling Center may serve in the place of one of the clinical faculty members. The Director of the Center for Psychological Services and part-time faculty members who teach clinical courses also may serve in the place of one the full-time clinical faculty members. A student who had good reasons to believe that one or both of the members of his/her examining committee will not be able to judge his/her performance in a fair and unbiased manner can appeal to the DCT for a change in the composition of the committee.

The examination will take place at the Center for Psychological Services. The student is responsible for arranging a time and place for the examination.

Client assignment. The client must be at least 16 years old and must have requested a psychological evaluation. The student is responsible for contacting the client immediately and arranging an interview. If the client is a minor, the student might interview the parents first or speak to the parents on the phone and postpone meeting them until after the interview with the minor client. If the student has difficulty arranging the interview, if the client declines, or if delays occur for other reasons, the student must inform the committee and the Center Director and must request another client.

Examination material. The student will complete and videotape the initial interview with the client. In addition, the student will prepare a written report based on the interview. The videotape and report will serve as the basis for examination. The interview must be videotaped. Only the initial interview with the client is taped. Interviews with parents are not taped. The student may also audiotape the interview in addition to videotaping it.

Supervision: The student is not to receive supervision from anyone (including other students) before the examination unless the student has difficulty contacting the client or arranging the interview or if a client emergency (e.g. suicide risk) arises. Responsibility to the welfare of the client takes priority over other concerns. If the student believes that supervisory consultation with faculty is needed for the immediate welfare of the client, he/she should bring this to the attention of the Center Director, who will help decide an appropriate course of action.

Preparation

1. Arrange a time for the interview with the client.
2. Contact the members of your committee to schedule a two-hour period for the examination.
3. Interview the client. The interview should last no more than 1 ½ hours except under unusual circumstances (e.g., crisis intervention).
4. Write a detailed intake report. This report should include:
 - A narrative summary of information gathered in the interview, including information about the referral problem(s) and relevant history and background information.
 - One or more theory-based conceptualizations of or hypotheses regarding the nature, cause, and maintenance the referral problem(s), including a discussion of evidence for and against those conceptualizations or hypotheses.
 - Possible DSM-IV diagnoses along with rationales.
 - A plan for completing the evaluation, including indication of specific areas of information needed follow-up and a plan for gathering additional information.
 - The report should *not* include the actual name of the client.
 - The report does *not* become part of the client's official record.
 - The committee's copies should be collected and destroyed following the exam.
 - The report should be no more than six single-spaced typed pages.
5. Give the intake report to committee members several days before the exam is scheduled. Ask committee members how much time prior to the exam they would like the report. A

week is always sufficient.

6. Schedule a room at the Center for Psychological Services for the examination and confirm with your committee
7. Before the exam, review the tape several times. Anticipate questions about problematic aspect of the interview, particularly ways that awkward or clumsy moments could have been handled more effectively. Some students have found it useful to take detailed notes of important events, noting the reading on the tape indicator, so the places can be found quickly.

Examination Procedure. The student should bring to the exam the videotape, extra copies of the intake report, and any supplemental notes. The student also is responsible for setting up the video equipment. Prior to the beginning of the exam, the student may be failed for an unprofessional report or for lateness in getting the report to the committee members.

To provide faculty with a broad sample of the student's clinical skills and broad clinical picture, at least two 10-minute segments of the tape will be reviewed. These will consist of a first 10 minutes of the tape and a second 10-minute segment of the student's own choosing. This second segment can provide students an opportunity to present parts of the tape that show their skills in their best light. The student may audiotape the oral exam.

The examination has no minimum or maximum time limit, but the typical length of the examination is approximately 1 ½ hours. At the end of the oral exam, the committee will ask the student to leave the room for a few minutes while they discuss the evaluation and complete the Clinical Oral Examination Feedback Sheet (see Appendices). When the student returns to the examining room, he/she will be told whether he/she passed or failed the exam, and the faculty will review the Feedback Sheet.

It is the responsibility of the faculty and the student to maintain a professional atmosphere during the exam. Committee members and the student must come to the examination on time and prepared.

The examination will be concerned with:

- (1) Basic interviewing skills and other clinical skills taught in the first two years of the Clinical program in coursework and in practica. Such skills include basic listening skills and interaction skills; the skillful use of prompts and summaries; the ability to see connections in the material in the interview; and the ability to probe for maladaptive cognitive patterns, feelings, and behaviors.
- (2) An understanding of the theories and models of psychopathology and psychotherapy covered in coursework during the first two years of the program. The student is expected to demonstrate the ability to use theories and models of psychopathology and psychotherapy in the conceptualization of the client's concerns.
- (3) Case conceptualization. The adequacy of the case conceptualization is a particularly important aspect of the exam. The student should use a hypothesis testing approach. The students should not prematurely commit to any one conceptualization of the problem or diagnosis (such as learning disability or depression) but should systematically evaluate several alternative hypotheses. For example, a person who has requested an evaluation

for a “learning disability” might be depressed or anxious or having other problems. A major part of the student’s task is to synthesize the emotional, cognitive, and behavioral information with the client’s social history and current complaints.

(4) The quality of the intake report.

Grading and its consequences. The examination has four possible outcomes—fail, marginal pass, pass, and strong pass. The committee’s decision must be unanimous. If members of the committee cannot reach unanimity, the committee will be disbanded, and a new committee will be appointed by the DCT to proceed with the examination, using the same client material.

A student who fails must be reexamined with material from a new client. He/she must arrange for a new Clinic client and a new time for the examination. Even if the student fails the exam, he/she must complete the evaluation of the original client.

A student who fails this second oral examination will be terminated from the Clinical program. If the student has not yet earned the MA degree, he/she may remain in the program and complete the MA provided he/she is otherwise in good standing.

If the student passes the exam, he/she must continue with completion of the assessment/consultation under the supervision of the committee chairperson or someone else designated by the DCT. Completion of the assessment usually will consist of additional interviews and psychological testing. In every assessment case, the student will write a report and meet with the client for an interpretive feedback session. The evaluation report must be completed within eight weeks of the date of the examination.

After the Center Director has verified in writing to the DCT the successful completion of the assigned assessment or consultation, the DCT will send a letter or email to the student notifying the student that he/she has passed the exam. The DCT will give a copy of this letter or email to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. **Passing the examination is not official until the student has completed the psychological evaluation of the client to the satisfaction of the supervisor and the Center Director.**

The student should also ensure that completion of the oral exam is verified with the appropriate signatures on the Tracking for Doctoral Candidacy form in his/her student file.

X. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH STUDENT EVALUATION

At the end of each semester, the Clinical Faculty meet to evaluate students. Each student will receive a letter from the DCT summarizing the results of his/her evaluation. Possible outcomes, which will be articulated in student letters, include the following (except dismissal, which is a University decision):

Commendation

Students may be commended when faculty perceive them to be making outstanding progress in one or more aspects of their work in the program.

Verification of Satisfactory Progress

Students who have reliably met program expectations will be informed that they are making satisfactory progress in the program.

Letter of Concern

When problems of any kind are identified by faculty, the student may receive a letter that describes the concerns about his/her performance or progress in the program. Such communication should serve as an impetus for the student to contact his/her advisor to discuss these concerns and appropriate ways to address them.

Probation

A student is placed on probation when the faculty has serious concerns about his/her progress in the program or his/her professional conduct. Probation increases the likelihood of termination from the program. When a student is placed on probation, he/she will be given in writing the specific reasons for the decision and the specific conditions that must be met for removal of probation. The student and his/her advisor will be required to develop a written plan for remediation. At the end of the probationary period, the Clinical faculty will meet to determine whether or not the student's progress has been sufficient to warrant (1) taking the student off probation, (2) continuing probation, or (3) termination of the student from the program.

Termination

A student may be terminated from the Clinical program when the faculty judge that the student is not making sufficient progress in the program and is not an appropriate candidate for a doctoral degree in Clinical Psychology. Causes for such action include, but are not limited to, unsatisfactory grades in courses, inadequate progress toward the completion of the degree, and failure to perform competently in practicum, externship, and internship settings. Termination means that the student may no longer enroll in coursework as a student in the Clinical program and cannot earn the PhD with a concentration in Clinical Psychology. It does not, however, preclude the student from applying to or taking courses in other programs of the Department of Psychology or the University.

Dismissal

This is a formal action taken by the University, usually as a result of accumulation of more than 9 hours of unsatisfactory graduate grades. The University may also dismiss students if they exceed the time limit for program completion after advancement to candidacy (six years, including internship). Violations of the University Honor Code may also result in dismissal. Dismissal disqualifies students from all further courses and programs at the University.

XI. RESEARCH EXPECTATIONS

Students are expected to become involved in research early on in their program and to make steady progress toward completion of the dissertation, as follows:

- (1) By the end of the first semester of study, each student will select a research advisor and begin discussions of research interests and possible dissertation topics.
- (2) Students take the Advanced Statistics course sequence (611-612) during the first year. A course requirement is the development of a research proposal under the supervision of the advisor.
- (3) Students are expected to maintain regular contact with the research advisor. Different advisors will have different expectations as to whether this contact involves individual meetings, group meetings, phone or e-mail contacts, and/or regular submissions of written material.
- (4) At the end of each spring semester, students are required to fill out a Research Activity Report (See Appendices) summarizing their research activities during the year. This report must be signed by the research advisor and submitted to the DCT before the last day of classes of the spring semester.
- (5) As noted previously, by September 1 of the beginning of the third year, students are required to complete, under the direction of the research Advisor, an empirical research project consisting of the collection of original data and write an APA-formatted article suitable for peer-review based on the research project.
- (6) All students must complete a doctoral dissertation (See section XIII).
- (7) ***All research must be approved by the GMU Human Subjects Review Board before data collection can begin.***

XII. ADVANCEMENT TO CANDIDACY & TIME LIMIT TO COMPLETION

Advancement to Candidacy indicates that a doctoral student has demonstrated a breadth and depth of knowledge in his/her field of study and is capable of exploring problems on the boundaries of knowledge.

Advancement to Candidacy is important because (1) advancement to candidacy is recorded on the transcript; some internships prefer applicants who have been advanced to candidacy; (2) certain University dissertation grants require advancement to candidacy, while others require both candidacy and a formally approved dissertation proposal; (3) advancement to candidacy triggers the five-years-to-completion deadline established by the University. A student must complete the degree within five years of advancement to candidacy (including the internship year) or face dismissal from the University.

Refer to the most recent University catalog for complete requirements for Advancement to Candidacy. The student must successfully complete all required coursework, pass the written and oral comprehensive examinations, and have an approved Program of Study.

As soon as a student has completed candidacy requirements, the Department notifies the Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences.

A doctoral student who voluntarily terminates enrollment and is subsequently readmitted to the doctoral program after Advancement to Candidacy is still subject to the five-year time limit commencing with Advancement to Candidacy.

Extension Policy

Students who wish to request an extension of the five-year limit to completion of degree after advancement should make these requests to the Dean's office through their faculty advisor and the DCT. The request should include an explanation of the need for and time-line for completion of uncompleted work.

The request must be approved by the advisor, the DCT, and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies before being forwarded to the Dean's office.

Extensions are not automatically approved. The student must have a legitimate reason (e.g., illness, family crisis) other than "I forgot," "I was too anxious to deal with it," or "My advisor never returned my phone calls."

XIII. THE DOCTORAL DISSERTATION

All students are required to complete a dissertation – an empirical research project that contributes to scientific knowledge in psychology. This contribution is generally achieved through the exploration of a problem on the boundaries of knowledge in the field. The dissertation involves the formulation of a research question or problem, the design of a study to answer the question, the collection and analysis of data, and the interpretation of this data in light of the research question.

Students must take at least 12 hours of dissertation credit, including at least 3 hours of Dissertation Proposal (PSYC 998) and at least 3 hours of Dissertation (PSYC 999). No more than 12 hours of 998 and 999 can be counted toward the 72 hours required for the PhD, except in the case of students who have received the MA in Clinical Psychology while at George Mason University and need an additional two hours to complete the requirement for the PhD (see section VIII: Conferral of Master's Degree.)

Dissertation Registration Requirements

PSYC 998: Dissertation Proposal: A student may sign up for minimum of 1 hour of PSYC 998 at any time with permission of the advisor. Enrollment in 998 does not have to be continuous once begun. Students must earn a minimum of 3 hours of PSYC 998.

PSYC 999: Dissertation: Students must have an approved dissertation proposal to register for PSYC 999. Students are required to register for 3 hours of PSYC 999 per semester until they have completed 12 hours of PSYC 998 and PSYC 999 combined, after which they may take one hour of PSYC 999 per semester. This will be considered full-time study by the university as long as the advisor and department chair certify each semester that the student is working full time on the dissertation. (Loans from external sources may have a more stringent definition of “full-time study”.) Once students enroll in PSYC 999 (Dissertation), they are required by University policy to maintain continuous registration in PSYC 999 until graduation (not including summer). Students who plan to defend the dissertation or graduate in the summer must be enrolled in PSYC 999 in the summer.

Students on internship must maintain continuous registration by registering for “Special Registration” ZREG 800 and at least one hour of PSYC 999.

Students must maintain continuous registration until final copies of the dissertation have been submitted to the College of Arts and Sciences for transmittal to the library. This policy helps ensure that students remain active and gives them access to use of Fenwick Library, Johnson Center Library, Consortium libraries, and other campus facilities, as well as the advice and feedback of faculty members.

For 998 registrations, contact Darby Wiggins, Graduate Program Assistant, for a call number. The call number will be used to register online. For additional information about registration, refer to Schedule of Classes.

For 999 registrations, email dwiggin3@gmu.edu with number of credits and the name of the dissertation committee chair. A reply email will be sent with a call number. For additional information about dissertation registration contact CHSS Enrollment Services Coordinator (MSN 3A3, College Hall C113A, 703-993-8864).

The Dissertation Proposal

Selection of Advisor

Dissertation projects usually are developed under the direction of the clinical faculty advisor. However, students are free to ask non-clinical faculty members to serve as dissertation advisors. The dissertation advisor must be a full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty member in the Department of Psychology.

The Dissertation Committee

The dissertation committee is responsible for supervising and approving the dissertation proposal and the final dissertation. The committee consists of the advisor and at least two other members of the graduate faculty at George Mason University. Graduate faculty members are typically full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty members, although term (non-tenure-track) faculty members also are eligible for appointment as graduate faculty. The student and his/her major Advisor select a second member from the Department of Psychology to serve on the committee. The third member of the committee must be selected from the graduate faculty outside the Department of Psychology. At least one member of the committee must be a member of the Clinical Faculty. Additional committee members may be selected from inside or outside the University.

The dissertation advisor provides the primary guidance and serves as chair of the committee. The roles and functions of the other members will vary depending on the preferences of the advisor and the other committee members.

After members of the committee have been selected and have agreed to serve, the advisor informs the DCT of their names and affiliations. The DCT forwards this information to the Dean of the College, who sends letters of appointment to the committee members and the student.

If a member of the committee resigns at any point of the dissertation process, the student must immediately find a replacement and notify the DCT. Neither the proposal nor the dissertation can be approved without a complete committee, as specified above.

Writing the Proposal

Specific guidelines for the written format and style of the proposal and final dissertation can be found in the *Guide for Preparing Graduate Thesis, Dissertation, and Projects*, which is available on the University website. The proposal and dissertation typically comply with guidelines found in the *APA Manual of Style*. If the *Manual* and the *Guide* conflict, the rules of the *Guide* prevail.

Different advisors and committees may have different expectations for the writing of the proposal and different styles of supervision. The student should determine as early as possible

these expectations and supervisory styles. No guidelines can be provided here concerning how long the proposal or dissertation should be, how large the research samples should be, how many drafts should be written, how often one should meet with the committee, how often one should meet with individual members of the committee, how one should resolve differences among committee members, and other such matters. The student should consult with the advisor and the committee on these matters.

Approval of the Proposal

The committee members indicate their approval of the proposal by signing the Proposal Approval Form (see Appendices). This signing is usually done at the end of a meeting of the committee and the student in which they discuss the proposal and decide on the final design of the study, appropriate data analysis, and other matters. By signing the Proposal Approval Form, the Committee members indicate agreement that

- a. The review of the literature is sufficient for the research problem or question.
- b. The hypotheses are clearly stated.
- c. The methodology of the study (measures, procedures, subjects) is clearly described and is appropriate for testing the hypotheses.
- d. The plan for data analysis is clear and specific and is appropriate for testing the hypotheses.

After approving the proposal, the committee may not require significant modifications to the methodology of the study. The Committee may require, however, additional statistical analyses beyond those planned and an updated literature review for the final version of the dissertation.

After the committee has approved the proposal, the student submits a copy to the Graduate Secretary, who forwards the proposal to the DCT and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies for review.

Data collection may not begin until the proposal has been approved by the DCT, the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies and the GMU Human Subjects Review Board.

The Dissertation

Conducting the Research

The student implements the research plan as agreed upon for the approval of the proposal. The student consults with the Advisor on a regular basis and with the Committee as needed.

Writing the Dissertation

The student writes the final dissertation with guidance from the Advisor and the Committee.

Dissertation Defense

Approval of the final dissertation is contingent upon passing an oral defense of the dissertation before the Committee. The oral defense of the dissertation is the final demonstration

that the doctoral candidate has mastered the content and research methods of the discipline.

Prior to the defense, the student must complete the Approval to Defend Form (see Appendices) before he/she will be allowed to set a date for the defense. This form must be signed by all members of the dissertation committee and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. The student is responsible for getting ALL signatures. A dissertation committee member who signs this form agrees that he/she (1) has carefully read the dissertation; (2) finds the analysis and interpretation of the data appropriate; (3) does not anticipate that major changes will be necessary, and (4) believes that approval of the dissertation is conditional on only minor corrections and a successful defense.

It is customary to give the committee members at least two weeks to read the dissertation prior to the signing of the Approval to Defend form. The DCT and Associate Chair for Graduate Studies requires at least two days to review the proposal prior to signing the Approval to Defend Form.

This form with ALL signatures and the time and place of the defense must be submitted to the Graduate Program Secretary at least *three weeks* prior to the anticipated defense date, along with a copy of the dissertation. This time allows the CAS Dean's office one week to prepare an announcement of the defense date that must be issued two weeks prior to the defense date. The student must file a copy (bound or boxed) with Johnson Center Library Reserve desk.

The candidate and all members of the committee should be present at the defense. If a committee member cannot attend the defense as scheduled, the student must notify the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies to discuss alternative means for examination by that committee member.

The defense is chaired by the advisor, who is responsible for directing the examination. The advisor opens the meeting by reviewing the examination procedures. The specific procedures will vary depending upon the wishes of the dissertation committee. The examination typically opens with a presentation of the dissertation research by the candidate. The student should consult with the advisor regarding the preferred length of this presentation. Following this presentation, the candidate is examined by the committee concerning any aspect of the dissertation and the student's knowledge of the broader discipline. Only members of the committee may participate in the examination. Other persons present who wish to question the candidate must submit questions in writing to the advisor in advance of the examination.

The defense is open to all members of the University community. The Dean may appoint an observer to attend the defense. Because the defense is a formal academic examination, attendance by family members and friends of the student who are not members of the University community is not permitted.

Following the examination, the student and observers (other than the Dean's representative) are asked to leave, and the committee discusses the adequacy of the dissertation and of the candidate's performance. The committee then takes a vote on the outcome of the defense. The candidate is then brought back into the room and privately informed of the

decision of the committee. No spectators or guests, other than the Dean's representative, are allowed to be present during this part of the examination.

Four outcomes of the committee's deliberations are possible.

- a. Pass without changes in the dissertation. The committee signs the approval form, approving the dissertation as is. This is a rare event.
- b. Pass with changes. The committee signs the approval form but with the expectation that the student and the advisor will make specific revisions agreed upon by the Committee.
- c. One or more Committee member withholds his/her signature until specific revisions are made in the dissertation and submitted to the committee for its review. This review may be done by circulating copies of the revised dissertation. The committee also may require a second and final oral defense.
- d. Fail. If the student fails the defense, another defense must be held within two months of the first examination. A student who fails the second defense will be terminated from the program.

After Final Approval of the Dissertation

Following approval of the final version of the dissertation, three original copies of the cover page of the dissertation must be signed by all members of the Committee in black ink. The cover page must also be signed by the DCT and the Associate Chair for Graduate studies. Typically, a copy of the dissertation and signed cover pages is given to the Graduate Program Secretary who forwards it to the DCT and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies for review. The student may also secure these additional signatures on his/her own. A copy of the dissertation is then submitted to the Dean for approval and signature. The student then sends the approved dissertation to the Library, where both copies will be bound and placed in the permanent reference section. Students may reclaim working copies from the Dean or the Library.

Students are required by the University to have their dissertations microfilmed. This service is provided by University Microfilms International (UMI) of Ann Arbor, Michigan. The one-time charge to the student will be approximately \$50.00. Arrangements for microfilming are coordinated through the College of Humanities and Social Sciences.

XIV. PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Both faculty and students are expected to abide by the ethical code set forth by the American Psychological Association (see Appendices)

A student who believes that another student or a faculty member has committed an ethical violation should the procedures described in the APA Ethical Principles. A confidential consultation can be obtained from the American Psychological Association Ethics Office in Washington, DC 202-336-5500.

Policy on Discrimination

The University does not tolerate discrimination on the basis of age, race, sex, national origin, disability or religious beliefs. A student who believes he/she has been subject to such discrimination should contact the DCT, the Chair of the Department, or the University's Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Officer (703-993-8730).

Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment by either faculty or students will not be tolerated by the Department of Psychology by the University. A student who believes that he/she has been subject to sexual harassment should contact the DCT, the Chair of the Department, or the University's Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Officer.

XV. GUIDELINES FOR GRADUATE STUDENT GRIEVANCES AGAINST FACULTY

During the course of graduate study, disagreement and conflict may arise between students and faculty either during formal classroom instruction or in the more informal individual instruction that takes place during the supervision of research and practical experiences. Indeed, the nature of the close working relationships inherent in graduate education in psychology, especially in a program with an applied focus, almost guarantees that conflict will arise on occasion.

When such conflict does arise, the Department expects that both the student(s) and faculty involved will conduct themselves in a professional manner. In addition, the Department is committed to ensuring that students and faculty are treated fairly when such disagreements arise. To this end, the Department endorses the following principles and guidelines for resolving disagreements and conflicts between students and faculty regarding instruction, training, and student-faculty relationships. (NOTE: Student concerns about faculty behavior that involves sexual harassment or racial/ethnic/gender discrimination should be handled according to the University guidelines description in the University Catalogue.) The resolution of disagreement and grievances will be resolved more effectively if the following principles are kept in mind.

Faculty

1. The professional performance and behavior of faculty is subject to continual evaluation and review, including evaluation and review by students. Student evaluation may, on occasion, involve the resolution of a complaint by a student concerning faculty performance.
2. The Department expects faculty to treat a student's concerns with dignity and respect. Essential to this is listening to a student's concern attentively and nondefensively. Although defensiveness is difficult to avoid when one believes one is being unfairly criticized or challenged, nondefensive listening is the first step toward a successful resolution of a conflict. Nondefensive listening may be facilitated by recognition of the apprehension and anxiety a subordinate (the student) usually feels when confronting a person of power and authority.

Students

1. Faculty and students enter into an educational alliance whose objective is the imparting to students of knowledge and skill. As part of this alliance, faculty are responsible for setting standards for mastery of this knowledge and skill and for evaluating students' progress toward meeting these standards. Students in professional psychology programs provide services to various types of clients (individuals and organizations), and faculty are ultimately responsible for the quality of these services. Thus, faculty evaluation of student performance and progress provides assurance of the quality of these services.
2. Graduate education is, by nature, difficult, demanding, and stressful (If it wasn't, anyone could get a Ph.D.). Thus, subjective distress alone is not a valid indicator that a course is inappropriately demanding or that a student is being treated unfairly by a faculty member.

3. In trying to fulfill their responsibility in setting standards and evaluating students' progress, faculty will, on occasion, make errors in judgment that are usually unintentional. Even for faculty, to err is human, and most student grievances concern faculty behavior that is nonmalevolent in intent. Nonetheless, when such errors create problems or hardships for students, they have the right to address their concerns with the faculty in question.
4. The ability to effectively address and resolve disagreement and conflict in a mature manner is essential for the effective functioning of a professional psychologist in any setting. Thus, disagreement and conflict with faculty offers an opportunity for personal and professional development.
5. Faculty members also deserve to be treated with respect and dignity. Complaining about faculty behavior to one's Program Coordinator or the Department Chairperson is a serious matter and should not be done with malicious intent or simply to seek retribution for a perceived wrong or slight. Also, approaching a faculty member in an angry or hostile manner or complaining to others about the behavior of the faculty member is not an effective strategy for resolving conflict. Students also should be prepared to listen nondefensively to a faculty member's explanation of his/her side of the conflict.
6. The Department cannot guarantee that resolution of a complaint or conflict will be favorable to the student. Nor should faculty expect that the issue will be resolved in their favor simply based on their position as faculty. The Department does guarantee, however, that students and faculty will be fully heard, that their concerns will be treated with dignity, and that an honest attempt will be made to reach a reasonable solution.
7. A student who, in good faith and in keeping with the above principles and with the procedures outlined below, complains about faculty behavior will be protected from retribution by the faculty member in question and by other faculty to the extent that the university has control over faculty behavior. Retributive or vengeful behavior by faculty toward a student complainee will not be tolerated. The Department has no control, however, over a faculty member's emotions, and a faculty member may decide to sever a working relationship (e.g., dissertation supervision, collaborative research or writing project) with a student following a complaint that the faculty member views as frivolous, unfounded, or malicious. Faculty who do so will not necessarily be viewed as engaging in retributive behavior. If a faculty advisor terminates a working relationship with a student following a complaint by that student against that faculty, the Department will make a good faith effort to secure another advisor for that student. The Department cannot, however, force a faculty member to work with a student.

Grievance Procedures

With these caveats in mind, the Department recommends that a graduate student who has concerns about the professional behavior of a faculty member take the following steps in the following order. Following these procedures will better ensure that the grievance will be resolved expeditiously and fairly.

1. Discuss the problem with the faculty member in question. Many disagreements, disputes, and

conflicts between faculty and students are the result of miscommunication or misinformation and can be resolved informally between the concerned parties. Consultation with the academic Advisor usually will be helpful in determining whether or not a grievance is legitimate and in developing an effective strategy for presenting the concern to the faculty member in question. If a student cannot discuss the concern with his/her Advisor, the student should consult another faculty member. The goal of such a consultation is to seek advice, not to spread rumor or simply complain.

The faculty member with whom the student consults concerning the grievance incurs certain responsibilities by agreeing to serve in this capacity: (1) To review with the student the Departmental policy and procedures described here. (2) To assist the student in determining the legitimacy of his/her concern and in developing a plan for discussing the concern with the faculty in question. In addition, the advisor may also choose a more active role in the resolution of the grievance by serving as the student's advocate or as a mediator. If the advisor/advocate believes that the faculty member in question has committed an illegal act or ethical violation, he/she should consult the Ethical Guidelines of the American Psychological Association.

2. If the discussion with the faculty member with whom the student has a concern does not produce a fair resolution, the student should consult with his/her Advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the student's Program Coordinator.
3. If consultation with the Program Coordinator does not produce a satisfactory resolution, the student should consult with his/her advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the Associate Chairperson for Graduate Studies. The Associate Chairperson may appoint an ad hoc committee charged with working with the student and faculty member in resolving the grievance. This committee may include a graduate student as a member.
4. If consultation with the Associate Chairperson for Graduate Studies does not produce a satisfactory resolution, the student has the option of bringing the matter to the attention of the Department Chairperson.
5. If consultation with the Department Chairperson does not produce a satisfactory resolution, the student should consult with his/her Advisor (or other advising faculty) about the feasibility of bringing the matter to the attention of the office of the Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences.

XVI. DISSERTATION, THESIS, AND TRAVEL SUPPORT

Dissertation and Thesis Support

The department will provide up to \$400 to help cover the cost of dissertation research and up to \$250 to cover the cost of master's thesis research. These funds are to be used to assist in the collection of data, including payment to participants if the research requires a population not readily available at the University. All equipment, books, software, tests, etc that are purchased with department funds becomes the property of the department. These funds are provided in the form of reimbursements for expenses, not cash grants or up-front money paid to vendors. Therefore, keep good records of your expenses, including all receipts. Only original receipts (not photocopies) will be accepted.

Requests should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies (currently Dr. Short) and should include:

1. A copy of the signature sheet of your approved dissertation or thesis proposal.
2. A budget that specifies how you plan to spend the money. The budget must be signed by your advisor.
3. A statement from your advisor to the effect that he/she does not have funds to support this research (e.g., from a grant).

Travel Support

The department will provide up to \$300 to **doctoral** students to help pay for travel to a conference at which the student is presenting. To be eligible, the student must be either first author of the paper/poster or second author if the first author is a faculty member. Ordinarily only one such request per year will be approved. The department will help pay for airfare and conference registration but not lodging, meals, taxis, etc.

Requests should be submitted to the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies (currently Dr. Short) *at least two months prior to the date of travel* and should include:

1. A letter from the conference program chair indicating that your paper, poster, etc. has been accepted.
2. A budget describing how you plan to spend the money, including the exact cost of the airfare and/or conference registration fee.
3. Evidence that you have at least attempted to get money from other sources, including the organization sponsoring the conferences (some but not all offer support for students presenting), your advisor's grant, the University's graduate student organization (student may apply for Graduate Student Umbrella funds and department funds simultaneous but must provide evidence of GSU award or refusal before department funds will be awarded (www.gmu.edu/org/gstf/GSTF.html). Evidence of an attempt to get money from the University's graduate student organization is required.

Following approval of your request for funds, you must **immediately** complete a **Travel Authorization Form**, which must be signed by the Department Chairperson before travel arrangements can be made. No travel expenses will be reimbursed unless the Travel Authorization Form has been signed by the Department Chairperson prior to the travel.

Reimbursement request worksheets (obtained in DK 2003) with original receipts (not photocopies) must be submitted within one week of completion of travel. Nametags cannot be submitted in place of a conference registration receipt. You may make your own travel arrangement. You must submit a boarding pass with your airline ticket receipt. Date of travel and the cost of the flight must be on the receipt.

XVII: REDUCED-FEE PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES FOR CLINICAL STUDENTS

The Clinical faculty members are aware that doctoral training in clinical psychology is demanding and at times stressful and that students sometimes have personal problems that can interfere with their ability to carry out their responsibilities. Sometimes even professionals and professionals-in-training need the help of a professional. Below is a list of psychologists in private practice in Northern Virginia who are willing to provide reduced-fee psychotherapy services to GMU clinical psychology doctoral students. Information about fees can be obtained from the individuals listed below. These individuals have no formal relationship with the Clinical program.

Gail Curran, Ph.D.
703-734-6266

Leslie K. Dalton, Ph.D.
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
The Stone House
6073 Arlington Boulevard
Falls Church, VA 22044
(703) 550-4056
www.thestonehouse.ws/ldalton.htm

Michelle F. Eabon, Ph.D.
11244 Waples Mill Rd., Suite G-1
Fairfax, VA 22030
(703) 691-4204
Near intersection of Route 50 and I-66 in Fairfax.

Marcia Grenell, Ph.D.
6107 G Arlington Blvd.
Falls Church, VA 22044
703 536-7554

Ginny Gutman, Ph.D.
(teaches in the doctoral program at Gallaudet University; practice is near Shirlington)
1225 Martha Custis Drive, Suite 2
Alexandria, VA 22302
703-568-3744 or virginia.gutman.phd@verizon.net

Sarita B. Kaplan, Psy.D.
11333 Sunset Hills Rd.
Reston, VA 20190
703-742-8665

Jennifer Lager, Ph.D.
6832 Old Dominion Drive, Suite 200
McLean, VA 22101
703-244-9656

Janet Laubgross, Ph.D.
Near Route 50 & 66
Fairfax, VA
703-591-7828

Kolleen Martin, Ph.D.
13890 Braddock Rd
Centreville, VA 20121
703 623-4406

Marya A. Myslinski, Psy.D., PLLC
Licensed Clinical Psychologist
6107 G Arlington Blvd.
Falls Church, VA 22044
703-538-2770

Martin Schuh, Ph.D.
9306 Old Keene Mill Road
Suite B
Burke, VA 22015
(703) 440-9284

Carole W. Sebenick, Ph.D.
10721 Main Street, Suite 307
Fairfax, VA 22030
(703) 362-9313
www.FairfaxPsychologist.com

*All members of Northern Virginia Society of Clinical Psychologists, who responded to appeal via NVSCP message board. List compiled May 2007. Contact individual clinicians for current availability.

XVIII. RESEARCH INTERESTS OF NON-CLINICAL FACULTY

APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL

Susanne Denham	993-1378 / DK 1024A	
Applied Developmental Program Coordinator		Preschoolers' social-emotional development and its assessment and promotion; Peer competence in preschool and elementary school; Developmental psychopathology; Parenting: Its impact on the above
Kimberly Eby	993-4338 / Ent. 410	Violence against women; Impact of violence on health; Domestic violence interventions and prevention
Robert Pasnak	993-1354 / DK 2049	Cognitive and socioemotional development in preschool, elementary school, and special education children
Koraly Perez-Edgar	993-9366 / DK 2050	Attentional Control and Attentional Biases, Anxiety, Social Retention/Shyness, Temperament, Biological substrates
Adam Winsler	993-1881 / DK 2023	Development of self-regulation; Private speech; Bilingualism; ADHD; Early childhood education; School readiness among low-income, ethnically diverse children

BIOPSYCHOLOGY

Linda Chrosniak	993-4139/ DK 2051	
Director, Honors Program in Psychology		Memory (animal and human), Stress, Cognitive process and health
Jane Flinn	993-4107 / DK 2022	The role of metals in learning and memory and in Alzheimer's disease
Robert F. Smith	993-3703 / DK 2044	
Biopsychology Program Coordinator		Developmental neuroscience, esp. effects of drugs [currently, nicotine] on adolescent neurobehavioral development. Activity-dependent dendritic growth. Animal models of addiction.

HUMAN FACTORS/APPLIED COGNITION

Carryl Baldwin	993-4653 / DK 2062	Auditory cognition & auditory displays, cognitive aging, transportation (highway and air, mental workload, and neuroergonomics.
Deborah Boehm-Davis	993-1398 / DK 2003	
Department Chairperson		Applied cognition; understanding interruptions and cognitive workload; transportation (Aviation and highway)
Chris Kello	993-1744 / DK 2057	Perceptual, cognitive and neural systems that underlie reading and spoken language processes
Maria Kozhevnikov	993-1342 / DK 2068	Neural mechanisms of mental imagery; individual differences in basic information processing capacities; cognitive styles; spatial navigation; design learning technologies to accommodate individual differences and learning styles.
Chris A. Monk	993-3408 / DK 2059	
Human Factors/Applied Cognition M.A. Coordinator		Driver cognition, driver performance with in-vehicle devices, transportation safety, and interrupted task performance.
Raja Parasuraman	993-1357 / DK 2055	
Human Factors/Applied Cognition Program Coordinator		Human factors and cognitive neuroscience, human performance in human-machine systems, influence of automation and computer technology on attention, memory and vigilance. Cognitive neuroscience of attention using information-processing tasks, neuron-imaging (ERPs and fMRI) molecular genetics of cognition.
Matt Peterson	993-4255 / DK 2058	Cognitive neuroscience of attention, memory, and perception.
James Sanford	993-1351 / DK 2046	
Associate Chair for Undergraduate Studies		Human memory and cognition, false memory, testing effect

Jim Thompson	993-1342 / DK 2056	Cognitive neuroscience, including fMRI and ERPs; biological motion; social cognition; robotics.
--------------	--------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

INDUSTRIAL/ORGANIZATIONAL

Louis Buffardi	993-1363 / DK 3072	
Industrial/Organizational M.A. Coordinator		Employee attitudes; Quality of work life organizational surveys; Work and family issues; Human error

Jose Cortina	993-1347 / DK 3074	Statistical interaction; Philosophy of quantitative analysis; Personality testing
--------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Reeshad Dalal	993-9487 / DK 3077	Employee performance, and its links with mood/emotions, job attitudes and individual differences, and advice-giving and advice-taking from a decision-making perspective.
---------------	--------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Seth Kaplan	993-1342 / DK 3073	Personality's role in job attitudes, task perceptions and job stress, individual differences in workers' and teams' behavior during non-routine and crisis situations.
-------------	--------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Eden King	993-1620 / DK 3076	Effective and equitable management of diversity in organizations, discrimination, social stigma in the context of work
-----------	--------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Lois Tetrick	993-1372 / DK 3066A	
Industrial/Organizational Program Coordinator		Occupational health psychology, motivation, and compensation. Research focusing primarily on individuals' perceptions of the employment relationship and employees' reactions to these perceptions including issues of occupational health and safety, occupational stress, and organizational/union commitment.

Stephen Zaccaro	993-1355 / DK 3066B	Group processes; Leadership; Job attitudes; Occupational stress and absenteeism
-----------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

Jack Naglieri	993-3811 / DEM 202	
---------------	--------------------	--

School Psychology /CAGS Coordinator

Theory and measurement of intelligence, test development, cognitive processing, nonverbal assessment, nonbiased assessment of minorities, academic interventions, theory and measurement of resilience, learning disabilities, ADHD, gifted, autism, cross cultural assessment, and gender differences.

Johannes Rojahn 993-4241 / DEM 202

Developmental disabilities (Socio-emotional development, psychopathology, challenging behaviors; applied behavior analysis)

Ellen Rowe 993-4266 / DEM 202C

Assessment and remediation of social, emotional, and behavioral problems among children and adolescents and developmental psychopathology.

XIX. APPENDICES

Course Descriptions

Course descriptions appear in the University Catalog <http://jju.gmu.edu/catalog/index.html>
Syllabi for some courses can be found on the Department of Psychology's website
(www.gmu.edu/departments/psychology) Syllabi also are on file in the Department of
Psychology Undergraduate Office.

Student Resources

Information for students on University and Colleges policies, registration services, financial aid, supportive services, thesis/dissertation policy and graduation can be found on the Graduate Student Information webpage. Additionally, Program Handbooks, Programs of Study (POS), commonly used forms as well as other useful resources can be located here. Students are encouraged to bookmark this link and visit it often.

<http://mason.gmu.edu/~dwiggin3/GradPsychResources.html>

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY
PROGRAM OF STUDY

Name: _____ **G#:** _____

Address: _____ **Phone:** _____

Date: _____

<u>COURSE TITLE</u>	<u>COURSE NUMBER</u>	<u>SEM/YR TAKEN</u>
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS (20 HOURS)		
<i>Biological Bases of Behavior (Choose one)</i>		
Biological Basis of Behavior	PSYC 702 (3)	
Neuronal Basis of Learning and Memory	PSYC 558 (3)	
Behavior Chemistry	PSYC 559 (3)	
<i>Developmental Basis of Behavior (Choose one)</i>		
Life-Span Development	PSYC 704 (3)	
Cognitive and Perceptual Development	PSYC 666 (3)	
Social and Emotional Development	PSYC 669 (3)	
<i>Social and Cognitive Foundations</i>		
Social & Cognitive Foundations of Clinical Psychology	PSYC 833 (3)	
<i>History, Systems and Theories</i>		
History/Theory of Personality & Psychotherapy	PSYC 830 (3)	
<i>Statistical Methods</i>		
Advanced Statistics I	PSYC 611 (4)	
Advanced Statistics II	PSYC 612 (4)	
Total Hours:		
CLINICAL REQUIRMENTS (31 HOURS)		
Psychological Assessment I	PSYC 810 (4)	
Psychological Assessment II	PSYC 811 (4)	
Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology I	PSYC 822 (3)	

Scientific Foundations of Clinical Psychology II	PSYC 823 (3)	
Social-Cognitive Interventions in Clinical Psychology	PSYC 831 (3)	
Community Psychology I	PSYC 840 (3)	
Community Psychology II	PSYC 841 (3)	
Practicum in Clinical Psychology	PSYC 881 (7)	
Professional Seminar	PSYC 890 (1)	
		Total Hours:
ELECTIVES (AT LEAST 9 HOURS)		
		Total Hours:
DISSERTATION PROPOSAL AND DISSERTATION (AT LEAST 12 HOURS)		
Dissertation Proposal	PSYC 998 (Min 3)	
Dissertation	PSYC 999 (Min 3)	
(ADD LINES AS NECESSARY)		Total Hours:
EXTERNSHIP AND INTERNSHIP		
Externship (optional)	PSYC 885 (0)	
Internship	SREG 800 (0)	
GRAND TOTAL (must be at least 72; 74 if student earned the clinical MA) :		

Major Advisor

Date

Director of Clinical Training

Date

Department Chair
or Associate Chair for Graduate Studies

Date

Application for Equivalency Examination for a Required Course

The following information must be provided in order to determine if a petition to take an equivalency examination is to be granted.

Date: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone: _____

Core Course: _____

Documentation: _____

Course Title: _____

Attach Transcript
 Catalog description of course
 Syllabus
 Texts or copies of tables of contents
 Examinations
 Papers

Justification: _____

Supervision Evaluation Form
(Adopted Fall, 2006)

Student's Name _____ **Date** _____

Supervisor _____ **Training Site** _____

1 = Performed well below expectations for current level of training

2 = Performed below expectations for current level of training

3 = Met expectations for current level of training

4 = Performed above expectations for current level of training

5 = Performed well above expectations for current level of training

NA = Not applicable to work done this semester

A. Psychological Assessment Skills (e.g., interviewing and assessment techniques):

_____ 1. Develops rapport with clients.

_____ 2. Obtains relevant information efficiently.

_____ 3. Formulates a clear referral question or problem.

_____ 4. Applies clinical theory and research in case formulation.

_____ 5. Designs an assessment strategy (e.g., test selection) appropriate to the referral question.

_____ 6. Administers and scores tests correctly.

_____ 7. Organizes and integrates assessment information skillfully.

_____ 8. Generates appropriate and useful recommendations.

_____ 9. Writes assessment reports skillfully.

_____ 10. Completes assessments in a timely manner.

B. Psychological Interventions (e.g., psychotherapy and case management):

_____ 1. Develops working alliances with clients.

_____ 2. Applies clinical theory and research in case formulation and treatment.

_____ 3. Develops appropriate goals and strategies with different clients.

_____ 4. Carries out psychotherapy techniques effectively.

_____ 5. Makes useful observations and interpretations of client material.

- _____ 6. Challenges clients when necessary.
- _____ 7. Responds appropriately to relationship issues.
- _____ 8. Recognizes clinically important material and follows up appropriately.

C. Supervision Issues:

- _____ 1. Comes to supervision meetings prepared.
- _____ 2. Actively participates in supervision by presenting ideas, showing initiative, and giving feedback to others.
- _____ 3. Receptive to feedback and listens nondefensively.
- _____ 4. Is appropriately assertive in expressing own ideas.
- _____ 5. Presents own ideas in a clear manner.
- _____ 6. Actively seeks input from supervisor and (when appropriate) peers.
- _____ 7. Responds to feedback non-defensively.
- _____ 8. Incorporates feedback in clinical work (e.g., in interactions with clients, in written reports).
- _____ 9. Gives feedback to others in a supportive and professional manner.

D. Professional Reliability:

- _____ 1. Writes progress notes and therapy reports skillfully.
- _____ 2. Manages clients' scheduling, fees, and case issues efficiently.
- _____ 3. Professional reliability in attending meetings, completing reports and contacting clients in a timely fashion; and keeping client charts properly documented.
- _____ 4. Deals with clients in a respectful and professional manner.
- _____ 5. Deals with staff and colleagues in a respectful and professional manner.
- _____ 6. Sensitivity and adherence to ethical practices.
- _____ 7. Awareness of diversity issues and effectiveness in working with diverse populations.

Briefly list the professional activities that this student has engaged in.

Describe the student's strengths.

Describe the student's relative weaknesses.

Comments and suggested directions for the student's future growth.

Supervisor's signature

Date

Student's signature

Date

Practicum Supervisor Evaluation Form

Name of supervisor _____

Semester _____

Scale

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Strongly						Strongly
Disagree						Agree

Time and Effort Expended

My supervisor

- | | | |
|-------|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| _____ | 1. | Was accessible. |
| _____ | 2. | Regularly listened to and critiqued tapes. |
| _____ | 3. | Regularly read and critiqued case notes. |
| _____ | 4. | Monitored all of my cases for which he/she was responsible. |
| _____ | 5. | Helped me make productive use of supervision time. |
| _____ | 6. | Demonstrated familiarity with Clinic policies and procedures as described in the <u>Clinic Handbook</u> and the <u>Clinical Program Handbook</u> |
| _____ | 7. | Was reliable (returned reports and other write-ups in a timely manner, kept appointments, on time for appointments, returned calls, etc.) |

Please describe problems, if any.

Specific Input on Client Management

My supervisor

- | | | |
|-------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| _____ | 8. | Assisted in conceptualization of client problems. |
| _____ | 9. | Assisted in developing treatment goals. |
| _____ | 10. | Assisted in developing treatment plans, strategies, techniques and/or skills. |
| _____ | 11. | Demonstrated familiarity with the APA ethical code and monitored potential ethical concerns. |
| _____ | 12. | Demonstrated expertise with a wide range of client problems. |
| _____ | 13. | Demonstrated knowledge of a wide range of theoretical approaches. |
| _____ | 14. | Demonstrated knowledge about the use and interpretation of the major assessment instruments/strategies we used in supervision this semester. |
| _____ | 15. | Helped me seek out and use consultants effectively. |
| _____ | 16. | Provided me with ongoing feedback throughout the semester. |

Please describe problems any
problems or concerns.

17. My supervisor reviewed with me at the beginning of the semester the Supervisee Responsibilities, Supervisor Responsibilities, the Supervision Evaluation Form, and the Supervisor Evaluation Form.

Yes _____ No _____

18. My supervisor provided a Supervisor Evaluation Form to me at the end of the semester.

Yes _____ No _____

19. My supervisor missed or cancelled _____ individual supervision meetings this semester.

20. My supervisor missed or cancelled _____ group supervision meetings during the semester. .

Clinical Oral Examination Feedback Sheet

Name: _____

Committee: _____

Exam Date: _____

Scale:

1
Fail

2
Marginal
Pass

3
Pass

4
Strong
Pass

I. Interviewing Skills

_____ a) Empathy:

_____ b) Structuring the interview:

_____ c) Gaining relevant information:

_____ d) Probing for maladaptive patterns of cognition, feelings, and behaviors (e.g., thoughts, core beliefs, defenses, anger, shame, interpersonal styles)

_____ e) Other:

II. Case Conceptualization

- _____ a) Diagnostic issues (knowledge of DSM, psychopathology):

- _____ b) Assessment issues (knowledge of relevant tests, uses, integration):

- _____ c) Ability to use multiple models (perspectives) in conceptualization:

- _____ d) Consideration of alternative hypotheses:

- _____ e) Other:

III. Awareness of Process Issues

- a) Awareness of relationship issues (e.g., transference, countertransference)

- b) Awareness of avoidance of issues-client and/or therapist:

- c) Awareness of fluctuations in client's affective and cognitive state:

- d) Awareness of possible approaches for dealing with each of the above:

IV. Report Writing (Comments)

V. Other Comments

Note: Passing the exam is contingent on satisfactory completion of the assessment, generally within 8 weeks of picking up the case.

GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY PHD PROGRAM

Extern Evaluation Form

(Adopted Fall, 2006)

Student's Name _____ **Date** _____

Supervisor _____ **Training Site** _____

1 = Performed well below expectations for current level of training

2 = Performed below expectations for current level of training

3 = Met expectations for current level of training

4 = Performed above expectations for current level of training

5 = Performed well above expectations for current level of training

NA = Not applicable to work done this semester

A. Psychological Assessment Skills (e.g., interviewing and assessment techniques):

_____ 1. Develops rapport with clients.

_____ 2. Obtains relevant information efficiently.

_____ 3. Formulates a clear referral question or problem.

_____ 4. Applies clinical theory and research in case formulation.

_____ 5. Designs an assessment strategy (e.g., test selection) appropriate to the referral question.

_____ 6. Administers and scores tests correctly.

_____ 7. Organizes and integrates assessment information skillfully.

_____ 8. Generates appropriate and useful recommendations.

_____ 9. Writes assessment reports skillfully.

_____ 10. Completes assessments in a timely manner.

B. Psychological Interventions (e.g., psychotherapy and case management):

_____ 1. Develops working alliances with clients.

_____ 2. Applies clinical theory and research in case formulation and treatment.

_____ 3. Develops appropriate goals and strategies with different clients.

- _____ 4. Carries out psychotherapy techniques effectively.
- _____ 5. Makes useful observations and interpretations of client material.
- _____ 6. Challenges clients when necessary.
- _____ 7. Responds appropriately to relationship issues.
- _____ 8. Recognizes clinically important material and follows up appropriately.

C. Supervision Issues:

- _____ 1. Comes to supervision meetings prepared.
- _____ 2. Actively participates in supervision by presenting ideas, showing initiative, and giving feedback to others.
- _____ 3. Receptive to feedback and listens non-defensively.
- _____ 4. Is appropriately assertive in expressing own ideas.
- _____ 5. Presents own ideas in a clear manner.
- _____ 6. Actively seeks input from supervisor and (when appropriate) peers.
- _____ 7. Responds to feedback non-defensively.
- _____ 8. Incorporates feedback in clinical work (e.g., in interactions with clients, in written reports).
- _____ 9. Gives feedback to others in a supportive and professional manner.

D. Professional Reliability:

- _____ 1. Writes progress notes and therapy reports skillfully.
- _____ 2. Manages clients' scheduling, fees, and case issues efficiently.
- _____ 3. Professional reliability in attending meetings, completing reports and contacting clients in a timely fashion; and keeping client charts properly documented.
- _____ 4. Deals with clients in a respectful and professional manner.
- _____ 5. Deals with staff and colleagues in a respectful and professional manner.
- _____ 6. Sensitivity and adherence to ethical practices.
- _____ 7. Awareness of diversity issues and effectiveness in working with diverse populations.

Briefly list the professional activities that this student has engaged in.

Describe the student's strengths.

Describe the student's relative weaknesses.

Comments and suggested directions for the student's future growth.

Supervisor's signature

Date

Student's signature

Date

Evaluation of Externship Site

Extern's Name _____	Placement Site _____
Supervisor(s) _____	Date _____

Please assign a rating of 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 to each item below using the following scale. In deciding upon ratings, compare the externship with what you would expect from an externship in general with a similar amount of work responsibility. Indicate NA when Not Applicable.

Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Acceptable	Very Good	Excellent
1	2	3	4	5

Quality of Supervision:

- ___ 1. Amount of Supervision.
- ___ 2. Level of Supportiveness.
- ___ 3. Case Conceptualization.
- ___ 4. Feedback on Written Work.

Comments:

Client Contact Opportunities:

- ___ 5. Assessment Cases.
- ___ 6. Individual Intervention Cases.
- ___ 7. Couple/Family Intervention Cases.
- ___ 8. Group Interventions.

Comments:

Externship Environment:

- ___ 9. Comfort of Working Environment (e.g., adequate office space, parking, safety).
- ___ 10. Quality of Seminars.
- ___ 11. Interaction with Other Trainees.
- ___ 12. Professional Manner with Clients and Other Staff.

Comments:

Briefly list the professional activities that this extern can engage in.

Describe the externship's strengths.

Describe the externship's relative weaknesses.

Comments and suggestions for getting the most from this externship.

Clinical Research Comprehensive Examination Feedback Form

(Adopted 03-08-07)

Student: _____

Advisor: _____

Second Reader: _____

- 1. Introduction: Thorough review and integration of theoretical and empirical literatures (thoughtful comparison of related studies/concepts, reasonable conclusions drawn).**

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

- 2. Introduction: Quality of research aims, hypotheses, and predictions (clearly stated, complete, arising from literature review)**

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

- 3. Methods: Appropriateness of the design to answer the question(s) posed**

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

- 4. Methods: Adequacy of operationalization/assessment approach (e.g., construct validity of experimental manipulation, reliability of predictor and criterion variables, rationale for selection of measures)**

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

5. Methods: Consideration of statistical power, experiment-wise error (where relevant)

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

6. Results: Appropriateness of the data analysis strategy to answer the question(s) posed

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

7. Results: Quality of the presentation of results (accurate, clear, complete)

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

8. Discussion: Reasonableness of the inferences or conclusions drawn from the data

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

9. Overall: Clarity of presentation and writing, organization

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

10. Overall: Potential contribution to field (theoretical, empirical, or both – above and beyond what is out there)

1	2	3	4
Fail	Marginal Pass	Pass	Strong Pass

Mean of rated items: _____

Exam Outcome:

Strong Pass.....3.5 to 4.0

Pass.....2.5 to <3.5

Marginal Pass 2.0 to < 2.5

Failure..... < 2.0

Comments:

Date: _____ Student signature _____

Date: _____ Advisor signature _____

Date: _____ 2nd Reader signature _____

Research Activity Report

This form should be submitted to the DCT no later than 5:00 p.m. of the last day of classes of the spring semester. This information will be used in preparation of our annual report to APA and in the annual evaluation of clinical students. Failure to complete and return this form may have a negative affect on your next evaluation.

Name: _____

Advisor: _____

Date: _____

Advisor signature: _____

Or by forwarding this form by email to Jim Maddux, you are stating that you have reviewed this documents and are in agreement with it

Student's Signature: _____

1. PUBLICATIONS, please give full citation in APA format

- a. **Journal Articles published**
- b. **Journal articles in press**
- c. **Journal articles submitted** [include current status: e.g., in initial review, accepted pending revision, being revised for submission to another journal, rejected]
- d. **Books** (author, editor; book chapters) [include current status, e.g., under contract, in press, published]

2. MEETING/CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS (Use “*” to indicate refereed)

3. GRANTS [EXTERNAL FUNDING ONLY]

- a. **Grants received** (amount and source of funds)
- b. **Grants applied** for (amount and source of funds)

Please answer the following questions: (Information required by APA)

1. Member of professional/research societies (including student affiliates)

Yes _____ No _____

2. Authors/co-authors of papers or workshops at professional meetings.

Yes _____ No _____

3. Authors/co-authors of articles in professional and/or scientific journals.

Yes _____ No _____

4. Involve in grant-supported research (Including RA's)

Yes _____ No _____

5. Involved in teaching (Including TA's)

Yes _____ No _____

6. Involved part-time in delivery of professional services on or off campus (excluding internship)

Yes _____ No _____

Approval to Defend Dissertation

(last updated December 13, 2006)

This form must be signed by all members of your dissertation committee and the Associate Chair for Graduate Studies. You are responsible for getting ALL signatures.

The signed form and a copy of your dissertation must be submitted to the Graduate Secretary least three weeks prior to your anticipated defense date.

By signing this form the dissertation committee member agrees that he/she:

- (1) has carefully read the dissertation
- (2) finds the analysis and interpretation of the data appropriate.
- (3) Does not anticipate that major changes will be necessary, and
- (4) Believes that approval of the dissertation is conditional on only minor corrections and a successful defense.

Name: _____

Dissertation Title: _____

Dissertation Chair: _____

Committee Member: _____

Committee Member: _____

Committee Member: _____

Associate Chair for Graduate Studies, Psychology

Date

Proposed Dissertation Defense Date:

Location: _____

Time: _____